Stochastic Extinction in the Presence of Delayed Feedback

Thomas W. Carr, Southern Methodist Univ. Mark I. Dykman, Michigan State Univ. Lora Billings, Montclair State Univ. Ira B. Schwartz, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory

This work supported by: Office of Naval Research, Army Research Office, National Institute of General Medical Sciences

SIAM Applied Dynamical Systems, Snowbird, 2011

Abstract

Extinction processes are stochastic events that occur in many applications of finite populations such as reaction kinetics, population dynamics, and bio-chemical reactions. We consider the problem of stochastic extinction as a rare event occurring in systems with delayed feedback. We derive a general formulation of the probability of extinction, and show analytically and numerically, how delay modulates the exponent of the mean time to extinction in systems with both Gaussian and non-Gaussian noise.

Stochastic Delay Differential Equations

• SDDE:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = F(x(t), x(t-\tau)) + g(x(t))\xi(t)
$$

• Deterministic part with delay:

$$
F(x(t),x(t-\tau))=x(1-x)-\gamma x_{\tau}, \qquad x_{\tau}=x(t-\tau)
$$

• Noise intensity D:

 $\xi(t)=\sqrt{2D}[\delta]$ correlated Gaussian]

Stochastic systems with delay

- Networks with finite signal transit times.
- Lasers with external reflections.
- Machine tool cutting.
- Epidemiology (e.g. temportary immunity)
- ...

Variational formulation

• Probability of a large (rare) fluctuation:

$$
\mathcal{P}_x[x] = \text{exp}(-R/D), \ \ R = \text{min } \mathcal{R}[x, \xi, \lambda]
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}[x,\xi,\lambda] = \frac{1}{2}\int \xi^2(t)dt + \int \lambda(t)[\dot{x}(t)-F(x,x_\tau)-g(x(t))\xi(t)]dt
$$

- λ : Lagrange multiplier.
- Minimize the exponent such that $\nabla \mathcal{R} = 0$.

Find the noise force ξ that maximizes the probability of escape \mathcal{P} , under the constraint $\dot{x} = F + g \xi$.

Main results

- General theory for both Guassian and non-Guassian noise that can handle delay.
- Accurate predictions for how delay τ , delay amplitude γ and noise intensity D, affect the switching/escape time out of the basin of attraction of the stable steady state.

Maximize the probablity of basin escape

• Minimize the exponent such that $\nabla \mathcal{R} = 0$.

$$
\dot{x} = \lambda g^2(x) + F(x, x_\tau) \n\dot{\lambda} = -\lambda^2 g(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x) - \lambda \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, x_\tau) - \lambda_{-\tau} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_\tau}(x_{-\tau}, x)
$$

Advanced term: $x_{-\tau} = x(t + \tau)$

• Hamiltonian form:

$$
\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(x, \lambda, x_{\tau})
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(x, \lambda, x_{\tau}) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{\tau}}(x(t + \tau), \lambda(t + \tau), x(t))
$$
\n
$$
H(x, x_{\tau}, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{2} g^{2}(x)}{2} + \lambda F(x, x_{\tau}).
$$

Optimal noise path

Melnikov approach to computing the action $\mathcal R$

- Solution exists for the problem when $\tau = 0$.
- Solutions for $\tau \neq 0$ (not small) remain close.

$$
\delta_\tau x(t) \equiv x(t) - x(t-\tau) \ll 1
$$

• The action be expressed as a perturbation problem:

$$
\mathcal{R}[\mathbf{x}, \xi, \lambda] = \mathcal{R}_0[\mathbf{x}, \xi, \lambda] + \mathcal{R}_1[\mathbf{x}, \xi, \lambda],
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}_0[x,\xi,\lambda] = \frac{1}{2}\int \xi^2(t)dt + \int \lambda(t)[\dot{x}(t) - F(x,x) - g(x(t))\xi(t)]dt
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}_1[x,\xi,\lambda] = \int \lambda(t)[F(x,x) - F(x,x_\tau)]dt.
$$

Minimization of \mathcal{R}_0

• Optimal path equations:

$$
\dot{x}_o = \lambda_o g^2(x_o) + F(x_o, x_o)
$$
\n
$$
\dot{\lambda}_o = -\lambda_o^2 g(x_o) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_o) - \lambda_o \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_o, x_o) - \lambda_o \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{\tau}}(x_o, x_o).
$$

• Solutions:

$$
\lambda_o(t) = -2 \frac{F(x_o(t), x_o(t))}{g^2(x_o(t))}
$$
, and $\dot{x}_o(t) = -F(x_o(t), x_o(t))$.

Additive noise: $g(x) = 1$

• For the delayed logistic equation

$$
\dot{x}(t) = x(1-x) - \gamma x(t-\tau) + \xi(t) \tag{1}
$$

• After computing the correction \mathcal{R}_1 , the action is:

$$
\mathcal{R}(\tau) \approx \frac{(1-\gamma)^3}{3}(1-\gamma \tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2).
$$

• Switching rate and switching time:

$$
W_S = c \exp(-R/D) \qquad T_S = \frac{1}{W_S}
$$

Escape time vs. inverse noise intensity ($\gamma = 0.1$)

- Noise intensity [↓], escape time [↑]
- Delay [↑], escape time [↓]

Data points are the mean values taken over 1000 simulations.

Escape time vs. delay ($\gamma = 0.1$)

• Delay [↑], escape time [↓]

Escape time vs. dissipation ($\tau = 0.1$)

• Delay coefficient [↑], escape time [↓]

Multiplicative noise: $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$

• For the delayed logistic equation

$$
\dot{x}(t) = x(1-x) - \gamma x(t-\tau) + \sqrt{x}\xi(t) \tag{2}
$$

• After computing the correction \mathcal{R}_1 , the action is:

$$
\mathcal{R}(\tau) \approx (1-\gamma)^3 (1-\gamma \tau) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2).
$$

• Switching rate and switching time:

$$
W_S = c \exp(-R/D) \qquad T_S = \frac{1}{W_S}
$$

Escape time vs. inverse noise intensity ($\gamma = 0.1$)

- Noise intensity [↓], escape time [↑]
- Delay [↑], escape time [↓]

Data points are the mean values taken over 2000 simulations.

Escape time vs. delay ($\gamma = 0.1$)

• Delay [↑], escape time [↓]

Escape time vs. dissipation ($\tau = 0.1$)

• Delay coefficient [↑], escape time [↓]

SIRS Epidemic with temporary immunity

$$
\dot{S} = \mu(1 - S) - \beta SI + r_{\gamma} \gamma e^{-\mu \tau} I(t - \tau) - \xi(t) S + \eta_{s}(t) \sqrt{S}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{I} = \beta SI - (\mu + \gamma)I + \eta_{i}(t) \sqrt{I}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{R} = \gamma I - \mu R + r_{\gamma} \gamma e^{-\mu \tau} I(t - \tau) + \xi(t) S + \eta_{r}(t) \sqrt{R}
$$

Hopf to pulsations \Rightarrow early extinction

Summary

- Variational approach based on optimal path that maximizes probability of escape.
	- Can consider both additive and multiplicative noise sources.
	- Generalizes to non-Guasssian noise sources.
	- Generalizes to consider the effect of delay.
- Melnikov approach based on small path deviations used to compute action.
	- Not necessarily small delay.
- Switching time: excellent fit between theory and simulations.
	- Larger noise intensity ⇒ easier escape.
	- Larger delay coefficient ⇒ saddles are closer ⇒ easier escape.
	- Larger delay time
		- \Rightarrow farther back in history of state $x(t)$
		- \Rightarrow weaker repulsive force of $x = 0$ saddle

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathbf{x} - \gamma \mathbf{x}(t - \tau)
$$

 \Rightarrow easier escape.