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The prevalence of low-cost side scanning sonar systems mounted on small recreational vessels has created improved opportunities to identify and map submerged navigational hazards in freshwater impoundments. However, these economical sensors also present unique challenges for automated techniques. This research explores related literature in automated sonar imagery processing and mapping technology, proposes and implements a framework derived from these sources, and evaluates the approach with video collected from a recreational grade sonar system. Image analysis techniques including optical character recognition and an unsupervised computer automated detection (CAD) algorithm are employed to extract the transducer GPS coordinates and slant range distance of objects protruding from the lake bottom. The retrieved information is formatted for inclusion into a spatial mapping model.

Specific attributes of the sonar sensors are modeled such that probability profiles may be projected onto a three dimensional gridded map. These profiles are computed from multiple points of view as sonar traces crisscross or come near each other. As lake
levels fluctuate over time so do the elevation points of view. With each sonar record, the probability of a hazard existing at certain elevations at the respective grid points is updated with Bayesian mechanics. As reinforcing data is collected, the confidence of the map improves. Given a lake’s current elevation and a vessel draft, a final generated map can identify areas of the lake that have a high probability of containing hazards that threaten navigation.

The approach is implemented in C/C++ utilizing OpenCV, Tesseract OCR, and QGIS open source software and evaluated in a designated test area at Lake Lavon, Collin County, Texas.
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GLOSSARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easting</td>
<td>Eastward measured distance along a Cartesian projected plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensonify</td>
<td>To fill a medium with sound for measurement and analysis for an acoustic sensor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northing</td>
<td>Northward measured distance along a Cartesian projected plane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outboard Motor</td>
<td>Propulsion system commonly found on small watercraft. It can pivot vertically and horizontally on a mounting bracket attached directly to the vessel’s transom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port</td>
<td>Nautical term that refers to the left side of the vessel as perceived by an occupant when facing the bow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverberation</td>
<td>Sound reflected from the surface of an object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starboard</td>
<td>Nautical term that refers to the right side of the vessel as perceived by an occupant when facing the bow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towfish</td>
<td>A platform towed behind a vessel with a cable or rope to house a side scanning sonar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transducer</td>
<td>A device that emits acoustic bursts of energy for measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transom</td>
<td>Nautical term that refers to the extreme aft or stern portion of a vessel’s hull.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Submerged navigational hazards have long plagued boaters on freshwater impoundments. During periods of drought lake levels drop and a countless number of submerged timber and other structures begin to approach the surface. When rain begins to fill the lakes, exposed hazards become invisible as they slip just below the water’s surface. As the lake levels fluctuate so do the locations and numbers of boating hazards. This can negate the knowledge of experienced boaters who often come to think of certain lake areas as being safe and hazard free, but are unfamiliar with the obstructions at the water’s current elevation [1, 5, 6, 51].

“There's a lot of underwater hazards that are new, coming up daily, weekly,” - B.J. Parkey, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, speaking about conditions at Lake Texoma on January 2014 [4].

Striking these submerged hazards can be costly and extremely dangerous for boaters. Watercraft may become impaled, capsized, swamped, and/or possibly sink as shown in Figure 1. There have been documented cases of outboard motors striking submerged objects and flipping into the boat potentially crushing occupants and striking them with a rapidly spinning propeller [2]. If the collision occurs at high speed, the occupants may also be ejected from the boat. Rescue and salvage operations may be hampered by the same hazards. [1, 3, 4, 6]
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Despite the combined efforts of various government authorities, only a fraction of these obstacles has been identified or removed. Government agencies typically only have the resources to focus on hazards inside popular navigation channels often referred to as boat lanes. When water levels fall below historical averages, even these popular channels become inadequately marked [3, 8].

“The LCRA is trying to mark hazards in the lake with orange buoys, but it’s impossible to mark all these obstructions,” – Don Brent, Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA), Chief of Public Safety, speaking about conditions in Lake Travis on June 2011 [8].

There are a number of commercial maps and products available; however, none of them addresses the volume of hazards presented by variable lake levels. Commercially printed maps are available for most lakes and provide course bathymetry data. Areas of
the lake containing large amounts of timber are sometimes denoted by black dots; however, timber height is not available. The dots do not represent a comprehensive mapping of standing timber. Several other types of hazards such as large rocks, pilings, and other structures may also be noted on the maps, but again only represent a small subset of what actually exists in the lake. Also, the hazards indicated tend to be most representative of the lake when it is at a historical normal or full pool level as opposed to a drought or flood condition.

Digital maps are also available from various vendors. They may be viewed on navigational display systems and as applications that run on cell phones, tablets, and other handheld mobile devices. Compared to their printed counterparts, digital maps usually provide finer bathymetry data as well embedded notes on areas of interest such as possible fishing locations and some navigational hazards. Some of the latest maps also have an interface for conforming bathymetry data to a lake level adjustment control. Digital maps have also introduced a form of social media that allows boaters to share notes and points of interest with each other over an internet connection [12].

Other products available to boaters include satellite and aerial photography. Tools such as Google Earth and Microsoft Bing allow viewing of lakes at various periods over time [13, 52]. By studying lake photography when lake levels are extremely low, boaters can identify potential hazards. The hazards must be fairly large to be identifiable in the photos and accurately assessing the height and elevation of these objects is usually not possible. Photography for drought periods is not always available. Unless the lake is
completely drained, there will likely be large submerged hazards that are not visible in
the photography.

Many boaters use online media to communicate information about potential
hazards. Most popular lakes will have an unofficial fishing or boating forum(s). Some of
the most active forums tend to be fishing related where members discuss angling
opportunities and share a variety of lake related information. Some of these posts will be
navigational related. The most precise and accurate posts will include screen shots from
a digital map and sonar imagery; however, those posts are rare. Often posts of this nature
will only give a generalized location and depth, and may employ the use of local slang
such as “I damaged my prop on rocks near party cove” or “watch out for the brush piles
by the magic crappie tree”[1, 10, 11].

For detection of submerged objects, boaters do have a selection of recreational
grade sonar units for a modest purchase price. These units are marketed toward anglers
and include side scanning and down looking modes designed specifically to scan down
submerged structures such as standing timber, pylons, and boat wrecks that fish tend to
school at. The sonar units allow the operator to pinpoint the depth and GPS location of
the submerged objects. Operating a sonar unit does take some training and the imagery it
produces can be open to misinterpretation. While these units are common amongst
recreational fishing boats, most other types of boats are not equipped with them (ski,
personal watercraft, wakeboard, sailboat, party barge, etc.) Also this type of sonar
application scans directly beneath and off to the sides of the vessel. It is not intended to
be used to avoid navigational hazards in front of the boat when underway [9].
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An ideal solution and one that this research attempts to prove possible is a map built with information previously collected from commonly available sensor systems, such as hull mounted side scanning sonar, and displays locations and depths of submerged hazards. If implemented for digital media such as a hand held mobile device or an embedded display installed on a vessel, a map of this nature could also account for the lake’s current elevation and the vessel’s draft. It could also be layered over bathymetric maps. Areas of the lake known to possess or to have a high risk of containing hazards at the given elevation would be indicated as such. Boaters can then make informed navigational decisions.
Chapter 2

BACKGROUND

2.1 Side Scanning Sonar

Side scanning sonars are acoustic sensors and a mature technology that excel at mapping large areas of the seafloor, or in freshwater applications the lake bottom. Typically dual channel, they emanate lobes perpendicular to their course, or track. To provide better track resolution and cover a large amount of lake bottom, the lobe shape is narrow along the track and wide along the cross track. Bursts of acoustic energy also known as pings will travel out and echo off of objects and lake bottom. The strength of the echo is a function of the object’s distance, the angle of incidence, and the ensonified material. Assuming constant material and a flat terrain, lake bottom echoes closest to the transducer will be the strongest and have the best resolution [14].

![Diagram of Sonar Distance Terminology](image)

Figure 2.1.1 Sonar Distance Terminology
The sonar system can calculate the distance of objects by measuring the elapsed time from the emanation of a burst of acoustic energy. The distance will have both depth and cross track components as noted in Figure 2.1.1, commonly referred to as slant range distance. It is important to note that the source of the echo’s elevation angle within the lobe is ambiguous without further analysis; therefore, the depth and ground range could vary along an arc within the lobe [14]. The red curve in Figure 2.1.2 demonstrates equivalent slant ranges.

![Figure 2.1.2 Equivalent Slant Range](image)

### 2.2 Side Scanning Sonar Interpretation

Native side scanning display formats present sonar returns in slant range. The vessel’s current position is represented at the top center of the display along with the most current sonar returns. As these returns age, their history is moved down the screen. The area of minimum returns in the center of the display represents the water column. As depth increases this area will widen and vice versa. For areas of relatively flat lake bottom and no structure the first returns will be located directly beneath the boat.
Increases in terrain elevation or structures rising out of the terrain will generate stronger returns. Decreases in terrain elevation or areas with an obstructed line of sight to the transducer will generate weak to no returns. Obstructed areas are termed as shadows and often provide geometric clues about the ensonified structure [9, 14, 15].

![Figure 2.2 – Example Side Scan Imagery](image)

The displayed distance of objects in the water column in Figure 2.2 is the slant range distance from the transducer to the object and not necessarily the distance to the top of the water column. The distance and depth of an object are only the same when the transducer passes directly over the object. The timber at the top of the screen passed almost directly beneath the transducer. This is indicated by the timber being mirrored in both channels and the absence of shadows. By looking at the shadows, the timber about...
halfway down the screen is approximately the same depth and off to the starboard side of where the transducer passed.

**2.3 Recreational Side Scanning Sonars**

Side scanning sonars have been used by various research organizations, the United States Navy, and other navies of the world for over fifty years. However, the specialized nature and cost of this type of sensor has historically precluded it from many potential uses, particularly in freshwater. This changed in 2005 when the first recreational grade side scanning sonar system became available. Today this type of sensor is commonly found installed on recreational angling vessels. Recreational grade side scanning sonar does present opportunity to economically create high resolution maps of aquatic environments; however, for automated processing they also present some unique challenges as compared to their more specialized counterparts [31, 32, 33].

The first challenge that must be addressed is how to capture the side scanning video and provide it in a digital format along with other pertinent sensor information to an automated algorithm. Military and commercial sonar equipped platforms have standardized data busses such as MIL-STD-1553, STANAG 3838, and numerous ARINC standards that onboard sensor systems are required to conform and interface with. Most of these bus technologies are not found on recreational fishing vessels. Interfaces for Ethernet, RS-232, NTSC, and removable flash disks are often available; however, with the exception of NTSC video, specific data structures utilized with these digital formats are considered proprietary information. They are not required to conform to industry data
standards such as extended Triton Format (.XTF) [50], and the manufacturer may or may not be willing to share them.

The second challenge deals with the location of the transducer. Recreational grade side scanning sonar transducers are usually mounted directly onto the vessel’s transom as opposed to a towfish [9, 49]. The advantages of this location include the convenience of not having to deploy a towfish as well the ability to use the sonar in locations that have snagging hazards for a towed device. The major disadvantage of a transom mounted transducer is the potential for interference from the vessel’s hull, accessories, and engine. This interference can manifest itself as artifacts in the sonar imagery that must be accounted for to prevent false detects with any type of automated interpretation.

A third challenge with recreational grade sonar is that the manufacturers do not document and publish all pertinent technical information with regard to its sensing capabilities, such as lobe shape specifics [9]. These types of details can fall into the realm of product trade secrets, and companies will not typically share this type of information without a non-disclosure agreement (NDA). This can be problematic when attempting to perform a detailed interpretation of the imagery or when attempting to build a model of the sonar. Educated inferences or additional experimentation will most likely be required. This is evident in numerous threads in online support forums for these sensors [37].
2.4 Automated Interpretation of Side Scan Sonar Imagery

Automated interpretation of sonar imagery has continued to be an active area of research since the 1990’s. It is also commonly referred to as computer aided detection (CAD) and computer aided classification (CAC) and has a variety of targeted applications including seabed surveys, oil and gas pipeline monitoring, and specific target recognition for naval mine hunting. Most approaches entail noise reduction preprocessing and some form of highlight area and shadow analysis to derive sea floor texture or geometric properties of objects protruding from the sea floor or suspended in the water column. The algorithms employed in CAD/CAC represent a subset of much larger fields of study in machine learning, computer vision, and image processing. Both supervised and unsupervised techniques are encompassed [15, 16, 30, 44].

Most CAD/CAC algorithms are targeted toward analyzing sea floor returns, and their first step is to determine which pixels are highlight, shadow, and sea bottom reverberation. This type of processing is referred to as segmentation. The simplest form of segmentation is to apply a threshold at a given greyscale value. To break out all three classes of pixels, two threshold values would be used.

Choosing threshold values can prove to be challenging. The first issue is that sea bottom imagery tends to be fairly noisy. The second issue is that sea bottom pixel brightness is a function of distance and depth. Building a histogram and normalizing the pixel brightness can help counter this effect, but does not address noise very well. To address this problem a more sophisticated approach is introduced by Guillaudeux et al. [45] built upon fuzzy logic and an expert system of rules. Segmentation is further
improved by the employment of Markov Random Fields to model spatial dependencies between pixels [46, 47].

Existing CAD/CAC publications are targeted toward finding man-made targets in a sea environment or classifying various types of sea beds. Most sea environment is much deeper than that found in freshwater impoundments, and the target’s geometry and location within the water column are also very different. In freshwater impoundments, targets include trees, pylons, large rocks, and chunks of concrete that often come close to the water’s surface. As such many of the CAD/CAC algorithms presented in published papers may not be applicable without some type of adaptation.

2.5 Occupancy Grids

One of the earliest works to explore gridded probability mapping for obstacle avoidance was published by Moravec and Elfes in 1985, “High Resolution Maps from Wide Angle Sonar” [21]. Moravec et al. describe an approach using wide angle sonar sensors to build maps for robot navigation. The robot is on a level floor inside a laboratory and uses an array of sonars to detect navigational obstructions around it. Each individual sonar reading only contains a small amount of data about the robot’s surroundings, but this information is projected onto several two dimensional grids. As the robot moves around, more data is collected, and the map improves.

Due to the choice of using a wide angle sonar, the echoes in this experiment have a similar ambiguity to those produced by a side scanning sonar. Targets detected in this wide beam angle could fall into a range of azimuth and distance values. Moravec et al. characterize this situation as “somewhere OCCUPIED” [21]. The authors make a single
target assumption and divide the probability of occupation across all potential grid points. In other words, the combination of all the probabilities across the grid points equals one.

It is worth noting that the probability distribution is not handled symmetrically for empty areas whereas each point is considered independently based on range and angular distance of the cell.

The algorithm presented maintains three sets of grids that are used to calculate values into a final map grid. The first two hold the occupied and unoccupied probabilities. The values in each grid are then summed against each other with the larger value ultimately determining if the final grid location is considered occupied or not.

In 1988 Elfes et al. published a continuation to this previous work, "Integration of sonar and stereo range data using a grid-based representation" [22]. A new framework summarized in Figure 2.5 was presented that enables fusion of different types of sensors. The sensor readings are processed by specific spatial interpretation and detection uncertainty modeling before being combined with positional information. After the sensor reading has been localized it is then fused with other information derived from the same type of sensor. Bayesian mechanics are then applied to fuse the sensor type maps, and threshold decision rules are used to create the final map.
Another advance presented is the development of a Bayesian model for updating probabilities in the occupancy grids. Starting with Bayes’ Theorem:

\[ P(s_i|e) = \frac{P(e|s_i)P(s_i)}{\sum P(e|s_j)P(s_j)} \]  

Table 2.5.1 - Bayes’ Theorem Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>Set of disjoint states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Number of states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(s_i)</td>
<td>Probability of being in state s_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(e</td>
<td>s_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(s_i</td>
<td>e)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elfes et al then derive the occupancy update model:

\[
P(\text{OCC}|R) = \frac{P(R|\text{OCC})P(\text{OCC})}{P(R|\text{OCC})P(\text{OCC}) + (1-P(R|\text{OCC}))(1-P(\text{OCC}))} \tag{22}
\]

**Table 2.5.2 – Occupancy Update Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P(\text{OCC}))</td>
<td>Current Probability of grid point being occupied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P(\text{OCC}</td>
<td>R))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>Sensor Reading (Evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P(R</td>
<td>\text{OCC}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The update model is implemented by initializing all grid points to probability of occupation \((P(\text{OCC}))\) to 0.5 (UNKNOWN). If more is known about the environment before starting exploration, the grid can be initialized differently. As new sensor readings are received, grid points are updated by solving for \(P(\text{OCC}|R)\). Grid points with a higher confidence of being occupied will have \(P(\text{OCC})\) approaching 1, and those that are likely empty will have values approaching 0.

One of the major advantages of the Bayesian approach is that it is both mathematically commutative and associative; therefore, multi sensor systems may have their readings applied in any order.

In a manner similar to the 1985 publication, the new framework and update model are then implemented for use with the Neptune mobile robot that is equipped with a pair of forward mounted cameras in addition to the original wide angle sonar array. The updated system is then used to create maps in an indoor laboratory office environment [22].
Elfes, Matthies, and Moravec laid a foundation with these two publications that continued to be enhanced and expounded upon through the 1990’s and into the new millennium. Table 2.5.3 provides a short list of applicable research in chronological order.

Table 2.5.3 – Additional Works in Gridded Occupancy Mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Real-time map refinement by fusing sonar and active stereo-vision [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Improved Occupancy Grids for Map Building [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Probabilistic octree modeling of a 3D dynamic environment [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Bayesian Occupancy grid Filter for dynamic environments using prior map knowledge[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Robust and Efficient Multirobot 3-D Mapping Merging With Octree-Based Occupancy Grids [28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6 Transforming Coordinate Systems

Gridded mapping approaches utilize a Cartesian coordinate system denoted in cells or linear distances from a point of reference as $x$ and $y$. As shown in Figure 2.6, GPS enabled sensor systems express location as geodetic angles about a spheroid in latitude and longitude. To transform coordinate systems from a spheroid to a flat plane there exists a library of popular projections. None of which are best for all cases, and all of them induce distortions. Depending on the map location, size, and objectives different map projections will be more ideal [18].
One such projection is known as Lambert Conformal Conic (LCC) was published by Johann Heinrich Lambert, an Alsatian mathematician and cartographer in 1772 [19]. It is currently used today in numerous applications including aeronautical, a number of states in the U.S. State Plane System of 1983, including the state of Texas, and marine mapping applications [17]. The transform is performed by placing a cone over the earth, defining a north and south parallel, and then projecting the earth’s surface onto the cone.

Table 2.6.1 - LCC Transform Equations Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Semi major axis of reference ellipsoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>Ellipsoidal flattening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>Latitude of first standard parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>Latitude of the second parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_0$</td>
<td>Latitude of the origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_0$</td>
<td>Longitude of the origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_f$</td>
<td>False Northing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_f$</td>
<td>False Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_i$</td>
<td>Input Latitude (to be forward projected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_i$</td>
<td>Input Longitude (to be forward projected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_c$</td>
<td>Computed Northing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_c$</td>
<td>Computed Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_c$</td>
<td>Computed Latitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_c$</td>
<td>Computed Longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_i$</td>
<td>Input Northing (to be inverse projected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_i$</td>
<td>Input Easting (to be inverse projected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_i$</td>
<td>Temporary Variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The algebraic expressions shown in Tables 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 should be solved when the map area is defined in bounding parallels, and an origin has been specified. To avoid the negative easting and northing offsets when performing forward projections, it may be desirable to specify non-zero false northing and easting values. Once these constants are set, they should not be altered for the given projection.

**Table 2.6.2 - LCC Transform Constants [19]**

| $m_1 = \frac{\cos \phi_1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi_1}}$ | $m_2 = \frac{\cos \phi_2}{\sqrt{1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi_2}}$ |
| $t_0 = \tan \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi_0}{2} \right] \left( \frac{e}{1 - e \sin \phi_0} \right)^{\frac{e}{n}}$ | $t_1 = \tan \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi_1}{2} \right] \left( \frac{1 - e \sin \phi_1}{1 + e \sin \phi_1} \right)^{\frac{e}{n}}$ | $t_2 = \tan \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi_2}{2} \right] \left( \frac{1 - e \sin \phi_2}{1 + e \sin \phi_2} \right)^{\frac{e}{n}}$ |

$e = \sqrt{2f - f^2}$  
(Eccentricity)

$n = \frac{\ln m_1 - \ln m_2}{\ln t_1 - \ln t_2}$

$F = \frac{m_1}{nt_1^n}$

$\rho_0 = aFt_0^n$
The algebraic expressions in Table 2.6.3 allow a GPS coordinate expressed as angles in latitude and longitude to be transformed into Cartesian X and Y offset from a point of origin. The false easting and northing value are applied to the final coordinate.

Table 2.6.3 - Forward Projection Algebraic Equations [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t_i$</th>
<th>$\rho_i = aF t_i^n$</th>
<th>$\gamma = n (\lambda_i - \lambda_0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tan \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi_i}{2} \right]$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\left( \frac{1 - e \sin \phi_i}{1 + e \sin \phi_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_c = Y_0 + \rho_0 - \rho_i \cos \gamma$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_c = X_0 + \rho_i \sin \gamma$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The algebraic expressions in Table 2.6.4 allow a Cartesian coordinate expressed as an X and Y offset from a point of origin to be transformed into a GPS location expressed in the angular form of latitude and longitude. False easting and northing offsets are accounted for.

Table 2.6.4 - Inverse Projection Algebraic Equations [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Y' = Y_i - Y_0$</th>
<th>$X' = X_i - X_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho' = \sqrt{(X')^2 + (\rho_0 - Y')^2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$$t' = \left(\frac{\rho'}{aF}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$\gamma' = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{X'}{\rho_0 - Y'}\right)$$

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$\phi_{t1} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \tan^{-1}(t')$$

$$\phi_{t2} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \tan^{-1}\left[ t' \left(\frac{1 - e \sin \phi_{t1}}{1 + e \sin \phi_{t1}}\right)\right]$$

$$\phi_{t3} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \tan^{-1}\left[ t' \left(\frac{1 - e \sin \phi_{t2}}{1 + e \sin \phi_{t2}}\right)\right]$$

$$\phi_c = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \tan^{-1}\left[ t' \left(\frac{1 - e \sin \phi_{t3}}{1 + e \sin \phi_{t3}}\right)\right]$$

$$\lambda_c = \frac{\gamma'}{n} + \lambda_0$$
2.7 WGS-84 Datum

To perform projections such as LCC, the Earth’s size and shape must be defined. There are several such datums that do this. Sonar data collected in this paper is localized with WGS-84 datum GPS readings. Published in 1984, the World Geodetic System (WGS) 84 models the earth gravimetrically as an oblate spheroid and provides a reference for other Earth centered datum and projections detailed in Table 2.7. [20]

Table 2.7 WGS-84 Datum [20]

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>6378137 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>.0035528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 “A Bayesian approach to object detection in sidescan sonar” [29]

Calder et al. present a method of CAD/CAC with a Bayesian based approach. Shadow analysis is employed using a supervised algorithm to detect objects protruding from the sea floor. The effectiveness of this approach is highly dependent on having properly trained data for specific targets to be detected. This approach may be too narrow to be used alone to find navigational hazards, but could potentially be run in parallel with other detection methods. Specific hazards of interest such as submerged vehicles could potentially be trained into this approach.

3.2 “A Novel Technique for Mapping Habitat in Navigable Streams Using Low-cost Side Scan Sonar” [31]

Kaeser et al. present a technique in mapping submerged freshwater habitat with low-cost or recreational grade sonar. Sonar imagery is collected and then manually analyzed. Some semi-automated mapping overlay tasks are performed with ArcGIS, but slant range correction and automated imagery analysis tasks are not performed. This work is related because the sonar sensor system employed is almost identical to the one used in this thesis, and Kaeser et al. successfully demonstrate that even though these low-cost sonars are marketed toward recreational activities, the imagery they produce are of sufficient quality for endeavors of a more scientific or professional nature. This grade of sensor system allows studies that would otherwise be too cost prohibitive to accomplish.
Kaeser and Litts continually improved this technique and teach it in training classes for other natural resource professionals [33].

3.3 "Map uncertainties for unmanned underwater vehicle navigation using sidescan sonar” [34]

Guo et al. build upon a gridded Bayesian based framework by creating uncertainty profiles for side scanning sonar systems on unmanned underwater vehicles (UUVs). UUV’s are prone to angular deviations in pitch, roll, and yaw. This creates uncertainties as to exact location of a given sonar return. These uncertainties increase with the distance of a given return.
Chapter 4

MODELING AND DESIGN

4.1 Overview

The modeling and software design approach for creating submerged hazard maps is broken into four major phases: 1) collection of sonar traces, 2) sonar imagery analysis, 3) spatial and temporal analysis, and 4) generation of maps.

4.2 Collection of sonar traces

Sonar imagery is collected through the normal use of the sensor on a target lake. The operator should select an area and pilot the vessel to provide sufficient lake bottom coverage at a speed within the sonar’s recommended operational range. Environmental conditions will impact the quality of the sonar imagery and its interpretation. During periods of high wind or rapidly rising or falling lake levels, samples should not be taken. The operator will also need to make note of the lake’s current elevation level.

In addition to the current lake level, several measurements of how the sonar unit is installed in the vessel must be recorded. Sonar transducer depth and the sonar offset from the GPS receiver should be noted. Depending on how the side scan unit is installed, both channels might not be usable. If this is the case, the operator should note this as well.

The sonar imagery will need to be converted into a digital video format either as a live stream or a standard file format. There are several options available. Most sonar units provide a means to save recordings that can be replayed at a later time either directly on the unit or transferred to a PC. Some units also provide support for an
additional display via an NTSC compliant interface. A scan converter could be used to collect video in this manner.

The following assumptions are made about the collection of video:

- Deviations of vessel heading and course over ground are negligible
- Transducer variance in pitch, roll, and yaw are negligible
- The transducer elevation and lake elevation level are consistent throughout the recording
- The video is collected in a manner that allows displaying all sonar channels and associated readouts for GPS position, course, and depth.
- The pixel resolution is consistent throughout the video.

4.3 Sonar Imagery Analysis

The next step is to process the sonar video and extract GPS coordinates and the slant range distances to any existing hazards. Video and the measurements taken during the sonar trace collection phase are used as inputs to this software process. Computer vision techniques are implemented to interpret the sonar data in a manner similar to that of a human operator.

As the sonar videos are processed, the software will record the GPS receiver location and slant ranges distances of navigational hazards. The software design organization could be summarized as such:

1. Screen Division
   a. Port and Starboard Channels
   b. GPS, COG, and Depth Read Outs
2. Optical Character Recognition
   a. GPS
   b. Course over ground (COG)
   c. Depth

3. Sonar Channel Image Processing and CAD
   a. Rotation
   b. Histogram Statistics Generation
   c. Normalization
   d. Thresholding
   e. Target Area Segmentation
   f. Contours
   g. Geometric Criteria

4. File Output

   The C/C++ OpenCV [35] libraries are used to facilitate opening standard video formats and stepping through them frame by frame as well as performing a variety of common image processing techniques.

   **4.3.1 Screen Division**

   At the beginning of each frame in the sonar video, the screen is divided into regions. Regions containing data readouts (GPS, COG, Depth) are each identified. Each of the sonar channels is also identified. For simplification of the software design and implementation, the pixel position of all data regions is assumed to be constant throughout all recordings.
4.3.2 Optical Character Recognition

Each of the readouts embedded in the sonar video must be interpreted. Google’s open source Tesseract OCR [36] library is used to conduct the optical character recognition (OCR). The API for this library expects the image to be in binary format, so at least a minimum of image processing is required. Depending on font specifics, training data may be required.

4.3.3 Sonar Channel Image Processing and CAD

This part of the software is run twice on each video. The first pass is to collect pixel brightness information and build a histogram. The second pass is to perform the CAD.

4.3.3.1 Histogram Statistics Generation

The segmentation process relies on pixel brightness histogram statistics to account for the gradient in mean pixel intensity with respect to distance and approximation of noise. One option is to skip this step in favor for a constant greyscale threshold; however, expected results would be poor. To construct the histogram, the sonar video(s) is viewed, and the greyscale value of each pixel in the water column is recorded with respect to its distance from the transducer. This information is written to a file and processed in excel or with a statistics package to extract the mean, median, standard deviation, covariance, etc. of each pixel range. Alternatively this information could be handled programmatically in written software as well.

An important assumption is made about the video used to build the histogram. The event of a pixel containing structure as opposed to water is rare.
### 4.3.3.2 CAD

Each of the image blocks containing the sonar channels is rotated such that the top of the image represents the top of the water column and depth increases as the bottom of the image is approached. This is done to make human observation of the CAD results easier to assess.

The first step is to perform segmentation to identify pixels in the water column that contain structure.

1. The value of each pixel in the water column is expressed in terms of standard deviations from the median pixel brightness for its respective depth.

2. The median pixel brightness is assumed to be the median echo strength of water as opposed to structure. Noise in the water column is assumed to be Gaussian; therefore, any echo strength less than the median echo strength plus three standard deviations is considered water.

3. Only pixels that contain a return strength that are at least three standard deviations from the mean for a given depth will be considered occupied with a potential hazard.

4. To assist contouring used in the next step, all pixels at the current water depth are set to a minimum return strength. Also pixels at the history maximum point are also set to a minimum return strength.

5. Thresholding is then applied to create a binary image of occupied and unoccupied pixels.
The second step is to apply contouring to the binary image and draw a bounding box around each contour. To prevent older sonar histories from generating automated detects, any box containing points beyond the maximum history point is not processed. Occupied pixel areas that meet minimum size requirements are considered detected hazards. The top pixel(s) in the hazard contour are used in the calculation of slant range distance.

Geometric criteria:

- Must protrude at least two feet from the lake bottom.
- Must be at least four feet in height if within the top four feet of the water column.
- Must be at least four pixels deep in history

4.3.4 File Output

Each frame analyzed in the sonar video generates a record that contains a GPS location, the associated depth, COG, the slant range distance of hazards detected in either channel, the current lake elevation, and the sonar frequency mode. This data is stored in a text file for future spatial and temporal analysis.

4.4 Spatial and Temporal Analysis

Each of the sonar records is read and processed spatially. Lake elevation levels over time are also accounted for. GPS coordinates are transformed into a Cartesian oriented grid cell location. Specific attributes of the sonar sensor are modeled such that probability profiles may be projected onto the gridded map. With each sonar record, the probability of a hazard existing at certain elevations at the respective grid points is updated. As reinforcing data is collected, the confidence of the map improves. Given
the lake’s current elevation and a vessel draft, the final generated maps identify areas of the lake that have a high probability of containing hazards that could threaten navigation.

Figure 4.4 – Sensor Integration Approach

Borrowing from Elfes et al. [22] the sensor integration approach is outlined in Figure 4.4. Probabilistic sensor models are created for both of the frequency modes supported by the side scanning sonar selected for this study. Also, the hull of the boat is considered a collision sensor. Locational uncertainty of the boat is also accounted for when fusing the sensor data. After all of the sensor data has been processed, lake level
elevation criteria are applied to generate a hazard map. By altering the elevation criteria, hazard maps for different lake levels are generated.

The software organization is summarized below.

1. File Input
2. Projection Transformation Class
3. Probabilistic Sensor Models
4. Positional Uncertainty Model
5. Gridded Map update
6. Elevation Specific Hazard Mapping

4.4.1 File Input

All file output data from the prior image processing phase of processing outlined in chapter 4.3 is parsed in. The data files should represent a comprehensive collection of imagery processing from all the sonar videos. Care should be taken not to accidently duplicate any of this data as it would be interpreted as multiple sensor readings and possible skew the final probability map.

4.4.2 Projection Transformation Class

Before any of the imagery results can be populated on the gridded map, the GPS coordinate are transformed into a Cartesian form. This is accomplished using a Lambert Conformal Conic (LCC) projection. This part of the software has a high potential for reuse on future mapping or remote sensing projects. As such care is taken to produce a C++ class that promotes reusability.
The above UML is simplified for presentation purposes and omits all of the necessary projection parameters. The implementation needs to include them, but this is still a relatively simple class that will be initialized with a datum, bounding parallels, origin, and false offsets. For this project that datum is WGS-84. The other parameters are determined by defining the study area. After initialization the forward and inverse methods, `getXY()` and `getLL()` respectively, are invoked to perform the required projection.

### 4.4.3 Probabilistic Sensor Models

This model defines the probability profile for the sensor. Given the existence of a hazard or lack thereof, bathymetry/depth, and the frequency mode, the model generates a probability hazard presence for each affected grid point.

To determine the probable location of a hazard given a range, the beam angles for the side scanning sonar must be defined. The definition used for this module is derived from the manufacturer’s published specifications [9]. The beam width of the 800 KHz side scanning sonar shown in Figure 4.4.3.1 is modeled as being 55 degrees with the top of the lobe being positioned 25 degrees from the horizontal plane of the transducer. The lower frequency 455 KHz mode shown in Figure 4.4.3.2 is modeled with a much larger lobe with a beam width of 84 degrees and no offset from the horizontal plane of the transducer. Any hazard detected at a given slant range could be located in cross track
range and elevation along a curve in the grey areas of the figures depicted below. An argument can be made that for the intended depths and ranges of operation in freshwater lakes the blind area directly beneath the vessel should be modeled smaller [37].

Figure 4.4.3.1 – 800 KHz Sonar Sensor Model [9]

Figure 4.4.3.2 – 455 KHz Sonar Sensor Model [9]

In addition to the sonar sensors, the hull of the boat is considered a collision or contact sensor. It is modeled as protruding 1 foot into the water column and being six feet wide as denoted in Figure 4.4.3.3. So long as the operator does not note a collision with a submerged object taking place, this area is modeled with 100% probability as being empty of hazards. This will be slightly diminished with positional uncertainty.
Localization is accomplished by using an enhanced GPS that is part of the Humminbird® sensor system. The GPS status readouts while on area lakes claims an accuracy of 3 ft. No additional specifications are provided. Positional uncertainty is modeled as a Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation of $\sigma = 1$ ft. This has the effect of blurring the probability profiles slightly. Uncertainty of yaw, pitch, and roll are assumed to be negligible.

4.4.5 Gridded Map Update

The combined result of the probabilistic sensor models and location uncertainty models are added to the three dimensional lake map grid. Every sensor reading in the input file is stepped through and likewise has its hazard probability results added to the grid.

4.4.6 Elevation Specific Hazard Map

After all of the sensor readings are processed, generation of two dimensional lake elevation specific maps is performed. Given a specific elevation criteria, the three dimensional grid of hazard probabilities is intersected at the respective point on the elevation plane. All grids at or above the specific elevation have their hazard probabilities summed to generate the map. The grid is traversed, and any point with
probable hazards beyond the determined thresholds undergoes an inverse projection back to GPS coordinates and is written out to a comma delimited text file. This process is repeated for additional elevations.

### 4.5 Generation of maps

After the navigational hazards have been identified and recorded in comma delimited files, they are ready to be imported into maps. There are many ways this can be accomplished. For this research, QGIS [38] is used to overlay the hazards on satellite or aerial photography. The probability value for each hazard location is color encoded to provide more information.

![Figure 4.5 – QGIS Project Screenshot](image)
5.1 Sonar Imagery Collection Parameters

Sonar video is collected with a Humminbird® 889c SI multi-beam sidescanning sonar sensor system at the designated study area on Lake Lavon, Collin County, Texas between the months of February and May 2015. The sonar is installed on an aluminum 17ft deep V recreational fishing vessel as shown in Figure 5.1. The transducer is mounted 8 ft directly behind the GPS receiver on the starboard side of the transom and six inches below the water line. All video is collected while the boat is powered by the aft outboard engine traveling within recommended operational guidelines of speeds ranging from 2 – 6 mph [9].

![Figure 5.1 – Sonar Installation Parameters](image)

When recording sonar data, the vessel is driven back and forth across the designated study area in a relatively steady course. Care is taken to avoid visible obstacles such as trees. Minor speed adjustments and engine propeller tilts are performed as required for
safety purposes occasionally throughout the recordings. These adjustments do impact sonar returns at the top of the water column on the port sonar channel. All video is saved on removable flash modules in a proprietary Humminbird® binary data format.

5.2 Designated Study Area

The designed study area is a cove on Lake Lavon, Collin County, Texas. This water impoundment was created in 1953 on the east fork of the Trinity River and enlarged in 1975. It is operated by the United States Corps of Engineers and presently covers over 22,000 acres. The full pool level is 492 feet above sea level [39].

Initially, the designated study area on Lake Lavon was the cove containing the power plant on the east side of the lake. Due to heavy rains and the raising elevation level of the lake during the study period, the designated area was moved to a cove further south shown in Figure 5.2.1. The primary reason for moving the study area is it contains a number of known navigational hazards that can be referenced in analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCC Projection Parameters for Study Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin Latitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin Longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Easting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Northing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using Google Earth, older imagery of the lake when it was at a lower elevation is able to be found. The following Figures 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, and 5.2.4 are taken October 18, 2013 when lake elevation was at 479.89 feet above sea level.
Figure 5.2.2 – Designated Study Area on October 18, 2013

By zooming in on the designated test area within Google Earth, potential hazards are identifiable in Figures 5.2.3, 5.2.4, 5.2.5 and 5.2.6. Most of these hazards will become submerged when the lake fills with rain water.
Figure 5.2.3 – Identified Hazards in Designated Study Area North

Figure 5.2.4 – Identified Hazards in Designated Study Area Central
Figure 5.2.5 – Identified Hazards in Designated Study Area South

Figure 5.2.6 – Identified Hazards in Designated Study Area West
It should also be noted that the banks shown in this imagery will not be submerged by lake water until spring of 2015. Live plants, bushes, and small trees will grow for an additional eighteen months before the study takes place.

### 5.3 Trip Collection Summary

Four sonar collection trips were conducted. Data in the first trip on February 14 is not used in final analysis because it was taken outside the study area. The elevation level of the lake on each of the trips is noted in Table 5.3. The following path summaries are created by extracting GPS location via OCR from the applicable sonar video and plotted over satellite imagery in Figures 5.3.1, 5.3.2, 5.3.3, and 5.3.4. In addition to acoustic and GPS sensor readings, it should also be noted that the vessel used to collect samples did not collide or come into contact with any hazards. The vessel draft is 14” and can be considered a collision sensor in modeling.

Aerial Imagery Provided by Microsoft© Bing™ via QGIS Open Layer Plugin. Conforms with Microsoft© Bing™ Terms of Use (TOU) as Education or Non-Profit Organization usage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lake Elevation (FT MSL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 4, 2015</td>
<td>487.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15, 2015</td>
<td>488.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2015</td>
<td>493.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.1 Data Collection April 4, 2015

Figure 5.3.1 – 800 KHz Sonar Collection Route April 4

5.3.2 Data Collection April 15, 2015

Figure 5.3.2 – 800 KHz Sonar Collection Route April 15
5.3.3 Data Collection May 1, 2015

Figure 5.3.3 – 800 KHz Sonar Collection Route May 1

Figure 5.3.4 – 455 KHz Sonar Collection Route May 1
Chapter 6

RESULTS

6.1 Sonar Video Capture and Optical Character Recognition

After collecting sonar data, the associated sonar videos were created using two methods. The first was to replay the video in real-time on the Humminbird® control unit with a scan convertor attached to the NTSC output for a second display. The second method was to remove the flash disk that contained the sonar data stored in a proprietary form and copy the data to a PC for conversion. A third party Java based tool called HumViewer [40] was used to make the conversions.

6.1.1 Scan Converted Video

The scan converted video contained a small, but noticeable amount of additional noise when compared to the video displayed on the Humminbird® control unit. Unfortunately, this additional noise created unreliable results for optical character recognition. Specifically the character’s 1 and 7 and 3, 5, and 8 were randomly misrecognized for each other. Additional image processing was able to reduce these errors, but was never able to eliminate them. Use of another scan converter model was not investigated. Retraining Tesseract OCR with the noisy font was also not investigated.

6.1.2 HumViewer Converted Video

HumViewer is a third party Java based application targeted for Windows and MAC. It is a method recommended by Humminbird® technical support to view sonar
recordings on a PC. To get it to run on Linux several shell scripts are created. They are included in APPENDIX B USEFUL SCRIPTS.

The videos created with HumViewer did not introduce any visible additional noise. With only a small amount of image processing, Tesseract OCR is able to retrieve the GPS location, depth, and heading information reliably. Another advantage to this technique is that the video can be converted much quicker than real-time. In other words, a twenty minute video can be created in several seconds instead of twenty minutes. A catalog of created video may be found in APPENDIX D CATALOG OF SONAR VIDEOS CREATED.

6.1.3 General Observations

HumViewer provides a number of optional parameters to create movies. These video settings along with general observation about the created video are summarized as follows. Figure 6.1.3 may be viewed for reference.
Figure 6.1.3 – Sonar Movie Created With HumViewer

1. Imagery is eight bit gray scale.
2. Maximum range is scaled to sixty six feet.
3. Strongest returns are darkest and shadows are light.
4. Acoustic noise at the top of the port channel is more prevalent than the starboard channel.
5. Overall pixel brightness is darkest at the top of the water column and fades until an object or the lake bottom is encountered.
6. Overall pixel brightness of the bottom returns are darkest closest to the water column and fade with distance.
7. Data readouts such as GPS location, course, date, and time are included and displayed down the left side of the movie screen.
6.2 Pixel Brightness Normalization

Attempting to implement a thresholding segmentation yields poor results without normalizing the pixel brightness. A threshold set to eliminate noise at the top of the water column also eliminates strong reverberations at lower depths, and the CAD misses many structures. A threshold set to detect structures at lower depth triggers many false detects from noise higher in the water column. After normalizing the pixel brightness results are much improved.

After analyzing the brightness distribution for each of the collection sets, it is found that they do differ slightly. Best results are achieved by using the normalization specific to the collection date. Environmental conditions such as water temperature may be a potential cause for changes on the different dates. Pixel brightness distribution statistics for April 4, 2015 are shown in Figure 6.2. The remaining charts are available in APPENDIX C PIXEL BRIGHTNESS DISTRIBUTIONS.
6.3 CAD Results

The unsupervised CAD implementation is able to identify most hazards in the water column. However, there are some false alarms, and occasionally the algorithm measures a hazard further away than intended. Figures 6.3.1, 6.3.2, 6.3.3, 6.3.4, and 6.3.5 form a representative sample of CAD results.
In Figure 6.3.1 CAD identifies the tree but misses most of the branches. The resulting measurement for the tree places it further away than it should be.
In Figure 6.3.2 CAD correctly measures this hazard.

Figure 6.3.3 – CAD Correct Example 2

In Figure 6.3.3 CAD correctly measures these hazards.

Figure 6.3.4 – CAD False Detection
In Figure 6.3.4 CAD generates a false detection. This may be caused by aquatic life such as schools of fish.

In Figure 6.3.5 CAD correctly dismisses noise and some artifacts that are not hazards.

Figure 6.3.5 – CAD Misses Part of a Submerged Tree
In Figure 6.3.5 CAD correctly identifies the hazard on the left and right, but it misses the top of the hazard in the middle.

6.4 Bathymetry

The intent of this research is not to generate bathymetric maps. However, during the course of operating the sonar, a large amount of fine resolution bathymetry information was collected. This data provides a useful reference. Figure 6.4 summarizes the collected data.

Figure 6.4 – Summary of Bathymetry Data
Aerial Imagery Provided by Microsoft© Bing™ via QGIS Open Layer Plugin. Conforms with Microsoft© Bing™ Terms of Use (TOU) as Education or Non-Profit Organization usage.

6.5 LCC Projection Class

The LCC class is implemented and uses the study area parameters specified in chapter 5.2 to forward project results of the imagery analysis which included bathymetric information onto a Cartesian grid. After all of the data was loaded, the bathymetry information was inverse projected back into GPS coordinates. QGIS was then utilized to overlay the bathymetry over aerial photography. The results are documented in section 6.4. The location of the bathymetry data correlates with other bathymetric studies of the lake [48], and while not entirely conclusive, it does indicate the LCC implementation is working as expected.

6.6 Slant Range Distance of Identified Hazards

The following are summaries of the identified hazards and their slant range distance from the transducer. Results are overlaid on depth charts that are corrected for the elevation of the lake on the day of collection. The satellite imagery was imagery provided by Google Earth via QGIS Open Layer Plugin.

Table 6.6 – Hazard Identification Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark Red</td>
<td>Hazard within 3 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Red</td>
<td>Hazard within 6 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Hazard within 9 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Hazard within 12 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Circle)</td>
<td>Hazard greater than 12 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Not Circled)</td>
<td>Water Depth less than 5 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightest Blue</td>
<td>Water Depth 5 to 10 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Blue</td>
<td>Water Depth 10 to 15 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Water Depth 15 to 20 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark Blue</td>
<td>Water Depth 20 to 25 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darkest Blue</td>
<td>Water Depth greater than 25 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure – 6.6.1 Hazards Identified April 4, 2015
Figure – 6.6.2 Hazards Identified April 15, 2015

Figure – 6.6.3 Hazards Identified May 1, 2015
6.7 Elevation Hazard Maps

The results of the CAD imagery analysis including the slant range distance to all hazards identified are processed spatially and with respect to the lake level at the date of collection. The gridded Bayesian based model described in chapter 4.4 generates the maps shown in Figures 6.7.1, 6.7.2, and 6.7.3. The red denotes areas where hazards most likely threaten navigation. The pale pink color indicates there is some potential for a hazard to exist at this location. Light blue indicate that sonar data confirms this is a safe part of the lake. Dark blue indicates that boat traffic has safely passed in this location at or below the current lake elevation and sonar has verified that no hazards exist.

The maps compare favorably to hazard areas identified in Figures 5.2.3, 5.2.4, 5.2.5, and 5.2.6 in that the circled areas are recognized as hazardous to navigation. There are additional areas marked as hazardous that need to be investigated to determine if a hazard actually exists.
Figure 6.7.1 - Hazard Map Lake Elevation of 486 Feet
Figure 6.7.2 - Hazard Map Lake Elevation of 489 Feet
6.8 New Hazards at Higher Lake Elevations

The map shown in figure 6.7.3 is deceptive in that it may be interpreted to imply that the lake is safer or contains fewer hazards as the lake level increases. Sonar data cannot be collected in areas shallower than the collection vessel’s draft. As water levels increase, there are potentially many unidentified hazards along the new shoreline [51]. While collecting sonar data, the vessel is navigated safety around any hazards protruding out of the water. Unfortunately, this also can prevent hazards from being detected and mapped. There are three known hazards that fall into this category shown in figure 6.8 as red circles.
Figure 6.8 – Additional Hazards
Chapter 7
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

7.1 Conclusion

Submerged water hazards continue to threaten navigational safety on freshwater impoundments [1 - 8, 51]. There are presently no available maps that provide a comprehensive identification of these obstacle locations; however, automated processing of commonly available sensor systems such as side scanning sonar provides an opportunity to help identify and map these obstacles. Combined with mapping and communication technologies presently available [9 – 13], boaters have the ability to make better informed decisions with regard to submerged obstacle avoidance.

Results from this research are indicative that automated sonar imagery analysis may be employed in the construction of maps containing submerged hazard locations and elevations within a water column. This information can be formatted in a manner that accounts for current lake conditions and targeted to vessels with various drafts. Low cost recreational grade side scanning sonar [9] video is successfully transferred from the target system installed on a vessel to the PC environment for processing. The data format lends itself to being collected at a remote location, tarred, compressed, and transferred over a network if required. With a small amount of image processing, Google’s Tesseract OCR [36] is able to successfully interpret all required readouts without any misinterpreted characters. The unsupervised CAD algorithm and software design presented in chapter 4.3 does not flawlessly mark all hazards, but it is able to correctly
identify and measure slant range distance to most of the hazards within the water column. False alarms are generated, but not overwhelming for the given data set.

The approach described in this research has significant limitations. The GPS location of identified hazards is arguably shown to be of sufficient precision and accuracy; however, the same cannot be stated for the elevation of the identified obstacles. Also the CAD identified in chapter 4.3 is shown to underestimate distances to hazards as well generate false detects. The Bayesian gridded mapping approach described in chapter 4.4 may help alleviate problems with the CAD when multiple sonar passes of the given area are available, but has not proven to be able to fully account for these types of errors. While the results demonstrate promise, further study is required to assess and demonstrate a quantifiably reasonable amount of accuracy.

7.2 Benchmarking

The accuracy of generated maps must be quantifiable. Grid location and GPS location comparisons used in past works [21, 22, 43] are not sufficient for the three dimensional nature of submerged hazard mapping. Ideally hazard GPS location and elevation precision and accuracy should be comparable to professional studies such as bathymetric mapping performed by Solis [48]. This would also provide a means to evaluate enhancements made to the CAD techniques and sensor fusion. A set of sonar video representative of the submerged hazards in target lake(s) should be created with known expected results. The video could consist of actual and artificially synthesized footage, but an ideal approach will include actual lake(s). For artificial video, the
expected results could be derived through modeling, but actual lake data will require real world measurement possibly with the use of divers.

**7.3 Improved CAD Techniques**

The CAD technique implemented in this research differs from other approaches in that it focuses on the water column. While initial results are generally positive, this approach only utilizes a small portion of the sensor data provided. Shadow analysis and pattern recognition can be adapted to further reduce false detections and missed target identification of objects with near cross track distances. It could also be used to identify obstructions with cross track distances that are greater than the present water column depth thus reducing the overall number of passes required to map a given area. Correlation of known bathymetry data and shadow length for a given target could also narrow angular ambiguity and provide a more precise location of a detected hazard. Instead of providing only a slant range for a given hazard detection, this type of CAD could potentially provide a confidence, narrower positional values, and geometrical size describing the detection [15, 30, 34]. Ultimately this could create a more accurate sensor model for sensor fusion.

**7.4 Improved Sensor Fusion**

Continuing to build from the works of Elfes et al. [21, 22] further experimentation with altering thresholding limits and fusion decision rules is warranted. For example, if a number of contiguous cells are determined to be probabilistically empty could a similar inference be made about the cells above? In the current implementation, all sonar information is projected in chronological order. Since the processing is not performed at
real-time, fusion is not constrained temporally. More accurate maps may be achieved by processing sensor reading in different orderings such as by precision and altering the update model to allow existing grid information to influence the projection of less precise sensor readings. Less precise sensors could then have their projections altered by already known data. Modified update models introduced by later works such as Konolidge’s MURIEL approach [25] may also yield better results.

### 7.5 Fusion with Additional Sensors

In addition to side scanning sonar, other common sonar presentations can be leveraged. This could include azimuth sweeping sonar [43] as well as forward or down looking sonar displays. The unsupervised and supervised techniques developed for shallow freshwater side scanning sonar imagery may be adaptable to support this. Other types of sensor imagery such as satellite and aerial photography in reference for a given lake level could be processed in an automated manner as well. The same could also be done for video or radar imagery taken at lower altitudes either on a small vessel or with an airborne drone. Fusion with sensors above the water level may address the issue outlined in chapter 6.8.

### 7.6 Automated Collection

The focus of this research targets the use of manned small recreational vessels. Collection techniques could be expanded to include unmanned vehicles. The repetitive nature of this activity lends itself to automation. An ideal group of autonomous vehicles could encompass sensors employed from the spectrum of unmanned platforms including UAV, USV, and UUV [34, 41, 42, 43]. Potentially one operator could oversee a group of
USV’s collecting mapping data, and as Manley states “Mowing the lawn never becomes dull for a USV” [42].
APPENDIX A

HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE

The following tools are utilized in conducting this research.

Table A.1 – Hardware Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humminbird® Side Scanning Sonar System</td>
<td>898c SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Video Capture</td>
<td>VC500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASUS Laptop Computer</td>
<td>G73S (8 GB RAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELL Desktop Computer</td>
<td>T3500 (16 GB RAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowe Deep-V Aluminum 17’ Boat</td>
<td>2007 FM 175S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SanDisk Flash</td>
<td>Various 2 to 16 GB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2 – Software Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenCV</td>
<td>2.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesseract OCR</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QGIS</td>
<td>2.8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HumViewer</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse</td>
<td>3.7.1, 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU C/C++ Compiler</td>
<td>4.6.3, 4.8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubuntu</td>
<td>12.04, 14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenLayers QGIS Plugin</td>
<td>1.3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenJDK Runtime Environment</td>
<td>IcedTea 2.5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

USEFUL SCRIPTS

Three useful shell scripts for working with Humviewer and the software created for this research in Ubuntu Linux.

This script will start Humviewer (version 68)
startHumviewer.sh
java -Xms128m -Xmx1024m -cp

This script looks in subdirectories and changes the case of “.IDX” to “.idx”. The extension needs to be lower case for Humviewer to open it.
makeLower.sh
find . -name '*.IDX' -exec sh -c 'a=$(echo "$0" | sed -r "s/(\[^.]*)$/L1/"); [ "$a" != "$0" ] && mv "$0" "$a" ' {} \\

This script runs imagery analysis on every .mov file in the directory
processImagery.sh
for f in *.mov ; do ./ImageAnalysis "$f" 66 493.25 8 0.5 ; done
APPENDIX C

PIXEL BRIGHTNESS DISTRIBUTIONS

Figure C - Pixel Brightness Histogram Statistics
APPENDIX D

CATALOG OF SONAR VIDEOS CREATED

All sonar videos were created at 1 frame per second. Duration is denoted in minutes:seconds format.

Table D.1 – 800 KHz Sonar Video Recorded April 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video Filename</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R12_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>13:27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R13_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>22:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R14_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>11:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R15_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>33:36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D.2 - 800 KHz Sonar Video Recorded April 15, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video Filename</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R16_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>8:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R17_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>17:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R18_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>15:12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D.3 – 800 KHz Sonar Video Recorded May 1, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Video Filename</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R20_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>11:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R21_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>4:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R22_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>6:53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>8:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R24_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>8:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>10:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R26_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>8:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R27_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>9:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R28_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>15:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R29_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>9:53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>15:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R31_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>11:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R32_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>12:45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D.4 – 455 KHz Sonar Video Recorded May 1, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R19_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>14:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R33_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>13:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R34_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>12:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R35_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>9:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R36_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>9:03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R37_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>9:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R38_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>7:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R39_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>10:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R40_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>7:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R41_gray_66.mov</td>
<td>8:08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E
PERMISSION TO USE PHOTOGRAPHY

From: Morris, Phillip Andrew  
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2015 10:27 AM  
To: sharon@planetrockwall.com  
Subject: Re: Requesting permission to use a photograph from planetrockwall.com

Thank you Sharon.

My thesis involves developing automated mapping technology that can identify and record the depths of navigational hazards such as submerged trees. I'd be happy to give you a copy.

From what I've found, boat collisions with submerged objects are not an uncommon occurrence when lake levels start fluctuating. I hope your friend was able to salvage his boat or at least get back on the water with a new one.

From: Sharon Lewis <sharon@planetrockwall.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2015 9:06 AM  
To: Morris, Phillip Andrew  
Subject: Re: Requesting permission to use a photograph from planetrockwall.com

Hi Phillip,

Yes, sharing the photo with watermark and link to the article is permitted and appreciated.

We know the person who lost the boat. It was a sad day, and surprising to many because he knows the lake and is an experienced member of the sailing community.

If we can see a copy of your thesis that would be great, however, not expected.

On 4/20/2015 11:45 AM, Morris, Phillip Andrew wrote:
Hey Sharon,

I'm a part-time graduate student at SMU (Dallas Campus) working on a master's thesis related to boating hazards. There is a picture worth a million words on your site that I'd like to include.

The photograph is of the half sunk "Orion" sloop. If you permit its use, I would include its reference to the article at planetrockwall.com as well as keep the picture's watermark in place.

Thanks
Phillip Morris
APPENDIX F
DUAL CHANNEL DETECTION CRITERIA

The following maps are created with a criteria that both channels must simultaneously detect a hazard at the same time. This will happen when the transducer is directly over a large hazard or in between two hazards. The more unlikely event of simultaneous false detects in both channels will also meet this criteria. Implementation of the dual channel criteria is accidental. It is essentially the result of a software bug, but results are interesting so they are placed in Figures F.1, F.2, and F.3.

It should be noted that the bathymetric data in Figures F.2 and F.3 are swapped. The bathymetry shown in F.2 is from May 1 and vice versa. Since the bathymetric overlay is only for reference the maps were not regenerated.

Figure F.1 – Dual Channel Hazards Identified April 4, 2015
Figure F.2 – Dual Channel Hazards Identified April 15, 2015

Figure F.3 – Dual Channel Hazards Identified May 1, 2015
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