

Software Reliability and Safety

CSE 8317 — Fall 2005

Prof. Jeff Tian, tian@engr.smu.edu
CSE, SMU, Dallas, TX 75275
(214) 768-2861; Fax: (214) 768-3085
www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/class/8317.05f

SSE.2: Hazard Analysis & Resolution

- Hazard Analyses and Techniques
- Hazard Analysis Techniques:
 - FTA and ETA
- Hazard Resolution and Damage Reduction

Safety Analysis

- Hazard and risk identification:
 - ▷ Accident scenarios: actual/hypothetical
 - starting points for safety
 - ▷ Focus: operations and operational env.

- Hazard analysis and assessment:
 - ▷ Fault trees: (static) logical conditions
 - ▷ Event trees: dynamic sequences
 - ▷ Other analyses/assessment techniques

- Hazard and risk resolution
 - ▷ Hazard elimination
 - ▷ Hazard reduction
 - ▷ Hazard control
 - ▷ Damage control

Hazard Analyses: Types

- Sub-system hazard analyses (SSHA)
 - ▷ Hazard within individual sub-system
 - ▷ Component/sub-system in isolation

- System hazard analyses (SHA)
 - ▷ Focus: interface and interaction
 - ▷ Subsys/env/human effect on system
 - ▷ Throughout development process
 - ▷ Focus on early phases to provide info. for other activities (hazard resolution and safety verification)

- SHA/SSHA in software process
 - ▷ Throughout development process
 - ▷ Focus on early phases to provide info. for other activities (hazard resolution and safety verification)

Hazard Analyses: Techniques

- Primary techniques for SHA/SSHA:
 - ▷ Fault-tree analyses (FTA)
 - ▷ Event-tree analyses (ETA)
 - ▷ SQE Ch 16.4 (slides!) and Safeware Ch.14.

- Other techniques:
 - ▷ Design reviews & checklists
 - ▷ Hazard indices
 - ▷ Risk trees
 - ▷ Cause-consequence analysis (CCA)
 - ▷ Hazard & operability analysis (HAZOP)
 - ▷ Failure modes and effect analysis, etc.
 - ▷ Above: “Safeware” Ch.14.
 - ▷ Specific to software: “Safeware” Ch.15.

- FTA and ETA slides from SQE Ch. 16.4 next.

Hazard Analysis: SFTA

- SFTA: Software FTA
 - ▷ Same concept applied to software
 - ▷ Actual implementation (white-box)
 - ▷ Language elements (high-level):
 - assignment and function calls
 - branching statement, loops, etc.
 - ▷ Also for specification/architecture
 - black-box control flow diagram
 - equivalent language representation

 - SFTA construction:
 - ▷ Templates/examples for diff. statements
 - ▷ Safeware 18.2.2 (pp.497-507)
- ⇒ Additional work needed,
especially for system design/architecture

Hazard Analysis: ETA & CCA

- ETA alone: trace of accident.
May desire explanation also (from FTA)
- Cause-consequence diagram (CCA):
 - ▷ Combine ETA with FTA
 - ▷ Explaining decisions in ET
- Using ETA and CCA:
 - ▷ Partial vs. total ETA
 - ▷ Focus on main consequences
 - ▷ Details:
“Safeware” 14.5-14.6 (pp.327-pp.335)

Hazard and Risk Resolution

- Generic hazard resolution techniques (in order of their precedence):
 - ▷ Hazard elimination:
 - eliminate hazard sources
 - ▷ Hazard reduction:
 - reduce hazard severity/likelihood
 - ▷ Hazard control:
 - control hazard severity/scope
(weaken hazard-accident linkage)

- Related issues:
 - ▷ Basis: hazard identification and analysis via FTA, ETA, CCA, etc.
 - ▷ Many specific techniques
 - ▷ Related to QA and SRE
 - ▷ Risk resolution: damage reduction
(+ hazard resolution above for prob(incident)
↓)

Hazard Elimination

- Elimination of hazard
 - ▷ Intrinsically safe (sub-)system
 - ▷ All eliminated: feasibility & cost?
 - ▷ Certain types of hazard eliminated
 - ▷ Direct application of hazard identification and analysis results.

- Specific techniques: “Good SE & SSE”
 - ▷ Component substitution (\Leftarrow FTA)
 - ▷ No single point of failure (\Leftarrow ETA)
 - ▷ Simplification of building blocks
 - ▷ Decoupling of system architecture
 - ▷ Human errors/hazardous material elim.
 - ▷ Component safety certification:
 - formal verification
 - components identified by FTA etc.
 - ▷ Link to testing/FT/QA activities

Hazard Reduction

- Hazard reduction:
 - ▷ Severity reduction:
 - change failure characteristics
 - various locks/barriers
 - ▷ Likelihood reduction:
 - reduce failure probability
 - in combination with above
 - also: most QA/SRE related techniques

- Specific techniques:
 - ▷ Control devices (active)
 - ▷ Barriers and locks (passive)
 - ▷ Failure/hazard probability/severity ↓
(accident probability↓)

Hazard Reduction: Techniques

- Control devices (active):
 - ▷ Control: fail \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow safe
 - ▷ Observability: observation of failure
 - ▷ Controllability:
 - system design/structure limit
 - energy/capacity limit
 - ▷ E.g., auto pressure relief
 - ▷ Partial control solution:
 - reduce the severity
 - bring to a neighboring state

- Locks and barriers (passive)
 - ▷ Lock-outs (preventing hazard)
 - ▷ Lock-ins (maintaining safety conditions)
 - ▷ Interlocks (correct order/combinations)
 - ▷ Other barriers (extra capacity, etc.)

Hazard Reduction

- Hazard probability minimization:
 - ▷ Design with extra capacity:
 - safety factors/margins example
 - melt temp. T_m and margin M
 - \Rightarrow safety bound $T_s = T_m - M$
 - ▷ QA and SRE: failure \downarrow
 - focused hazard probability min.
 - with FTA/ETA/etc. help

- Redundancy (FT etc.) \Rightarrow prob(hazard) \downarrow :
 - ▷ Hardware redundancy/backup
 - ▷ Software redundancy:
 - fault tolerance (NVP, & (?) RB)
 - anticipated input/env. enlargement
 - “fool-proof” software
 - ▷ Hardware/software interlocks

Hazard Resolution: Hw/Sw Interlock

- Interlock software
 - ▷ Software used as safety interlock
 - (s/w usage: data/control/safety)
 - example: emergency shut-down s/w
 - ▷ More stringent safety requirement:
 - most s/w function safety-related
 - should not rely solely on s/w
 - Therac-25 accident lessons

- Hardware/software interlock
 - ▷ Limitation of s/w backups:
 - diversity and independence problems
 - ▷ Hardware backups and interlocks:
 - different characteristics
 - different failure mechanisms
 - more likely to be *independent*
 - passive/active safety devices
 - ▷ Combine the advantages \Rightarrow safety \uparrow

Hazard Control

- Hazard control:
 - ▷ Limit hazard scope
 - ▷ Redesigning/re-structuring system
 - ▷ System augmentation

- Internal system change:
 - ▷ Hazard exposure reduction
 - ▷ Isolation of hazard event
 - ▷ Containment around hazard event
 - ▷ Fail-safe design (passive)

- System augmentation:
 - ▷ Protection system (PS) added on:
 - failure \Rightarrow PS action \Rightarrow shut-down (safe)
 - ▷ Similar to some redundancy situations
 - ▷ prescription monitor in Module 8

Risk Resolution: Damage Reduction

- Damage reduction: Why?
 - ▷ Risk factors:
 $f(\text{prob}(\text{haz}), \text{prob}(\text{haz} \rightarrow \text{acc}), \text{damage})$
 - ▷ All the hazard resolution techniques
 \Rightarrow risk \neq 0 still!
 - ▷ Damage reduction needed
 - ▷ Passed “point of no return”

- Specific techniques:
 - ▷ Escape routes (lifeboats, fire escapes, evacuation plans, etc.)
 - ▷ Safe abandonment (haz. waste disposal)
 - ▷ Devices for limiting damage:
 - auto safety devices
 - limited melt-down
 - collapsible signpost, etc.

Perspectives

- SSE: Augment S/w Eng.
 - ▷ Analysis to identify hazard
 - ▷ Design for safety
 - ▷ Verify safety constraints (next module)
 - ▷ Leveson's s/w safety program

- Dealing with hazard/risk in SSE:
 - ▷ Hazard identification and analysis
 - ▷ Design for safety/hazard resolution:
 - Hazard elimination/reduction/control
 - ▷ Damage reduction
 - ▷ Safety verification
 - ▷ All in SSE context: hazard focus.