# Software Reliability and Safety CSE 8317 — Fall 2006

Prof. Jeff Tian, tian@engr.smu.edu CSE, SMU, Dallas, TX 75275 (214) 768-2861; Fax: (214) 768-3085 www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/class/8317.06f

## SRE.3: Reliability Models

- Reliability functions and definitions
- Software Reliability Growth Models
- Combinatorial and Other Models
- Model Assumptions/Limitations/Usage

## **Reliability Models**

- Reliability modeling
  - Reliability-fault relations
  - ▷ Exposure assumptions
  - ▷ Lyu book: Chapter 3; Tian/AIC paper
- Time domain SRGMs
  - Reliability-fault relation over time
  - Stochastic process for failure arrivals
  - Reliability growth due to fault removal
- Combinatorial & other models
  - Reliability-fault relation over input
  - ▷ Fault seeding (FS) models
  - ▷ Input domain (ID) models
  - Cleanroom and coverage-based models

#### Develop and Use Models

- 1. Preparation:
  - > study failure data and environment
  - choose reliability model(s)
    - (reliability expressed as math functions)
  - ▷ influence of past experience
- 2. Modeling (function with parameters):
  - ▷ estimate model parameters
  - obtain fitted model
  - goodness-of-fit test
  - ▷ obtain performance measures
- 3. Followup and decision making:(assessment/prediction/control aspects)

## **Environment and Choice of Models**

- Environment and data
  - ▷ Modeling goals under the environment
  - ▷ Environmental constraints:
    - project/process environment
    - data availability/cost
  - Preliminary choice of models
- Model choice: goal driven
  - ▷ Goal: assessment/prediction/control?
  - ▷ Proper definition of reliability
    - time/input/stage/coverage?
  - ▷ Current or future reliability?
  - Reliability goals as exit criteria
  - Management and improvement

#### **Choice of Models**

- Choice based on experience
  - Previous choices and experience
    - models fitted obs. well?
    - other results: positive/negative?
    - overall feedback from development?
  - ▷ Both local and non-local experience
  - ▷ Baseline for comparison
  - Adaptation and refinement for now
- Other factors
  - Match model assumptions with reality
    - implications/limitations later
  - ▷ Tools and software support
    - SMERFS, CASRE, etc. (Lyu Book)
    - integration with other tools?

#### **Basic Functions and Definitions**

- Some basic functions/definitions:
  - F(t): cdf for failure over time f(t): pdf, f(t) = F'(t) Reliability function R(t) = 1 F(t)  $R(t) = P(T \ge t) = P(\text{no failure by } t)$  Hazard function/rate/intensity  $z(t)\Delta t = P\{t < T < t + \Delta t | T > t\}$  Mean function m(t) in NHPP  $\text{Failure rate/intensity, } \lambda(t) = m'(t)$  Time domain definition:

$$R = \frac{s}{n} = \frac{n-f}{n} = 1 - \frac{f}{n} = 1 - r$$

▷ MTBF, MTTF, etc.

• Details/relations: Tian/SQE book Ch.22.

## **SRGM** Classification

#### • Data used:

- Time-between-failure (TBF) models – r.v.: failure interval
- ▷ Failure-count (FC) models
  - r.v.: failure count for given interval
- ▷ Most widely used (in this class)
- Some models can use both TBF and FC data
- Other classifications possible
  - ▷ Time measurement:
    - calendar/wall-clock/execution/etc. time
  - ▷ Distribution/f-arrival function:
    - Poisson/binomial/etc.
  - Finite vs infinite failures
  - ▷ Musa Book, Chapter 9, Section 9.4.

## **TBF** Models

- Model characteristics
  - ▷ Failure intervals as r.v.
    - $-T_i$ : r.v. for the time between
      - (i-1)st and *i*th failures
  - $\triangleright$  Distribution  $F_i(t)$  or  $f_i(t)$
  - $\triangleright$  Directly define  $z_i(t)$
  - $\triangleright$  Relate  $z_i(t)$  to failures/faults
- Defining TBF models
  - $\triangleright$  Sequence of  $z_i(t)$  over i
  - ▷ Initial value?
  - Physical interpretation
  - Cumulative or rate data plotting

#### **TBF1:** Jelinski-Moranda

- One of the earliest model using TBF (time-between-failure) measurement
- Failure rate  $(z_i \text{ or } \lambda_i)$ :
  - Proportional to defects remaining
  - ▷ Step function:  $z_i = \phi(N (i 1))$
  - $\triangleright$   $z_i$ : failure rate for the *i*-th failure
  - ▷ Two model parameters:
    - $\phi$  constant for failure exposure
    - ${\cal N}$  constant for total defects
- Relation to later models
  - ▷ Similar assumptions
  - ▷ Other failure rate: geometric etc.
  - ▷ Continuous version: Goel-Okumoto etc.

## **TBF2-3:** Schick-Wolverton

- Variations of TBF1 model
- Schick-Wolverton linear model (TBF2):
  - Proportional to defects remaining
  - ▷ But it is time dependent
  - Slope function with renewal

$$\triangleright \lambda_i = \phi(N - (i - 1))t$$

- ▷ Assumptions/parameters similar to TBF1
- Schick-Wolverton parabolic model (TBF2.1):
  - ▷ Similar to TBF2
  - ▷ 2nd order function with renewal
  - $\triangleright \lambda_i = \phi(N (i 1))(at^2 + bt + c)$
  - Assumptions/parameters similar to TBF1

## **TBF4:** Geometric Models (Moranda)

- Similar to Jelinski-Moranda
- Failure rate
  - Step function but geometric step sizes

$$\triangleright \ \lambda_i = \lambda_0 \phi^{i-1}$$

- $\triangleright \lambda_i$ : failure rate for the *i*-th failure
- ▷ Two model parameters:
  - $-\phi$ : step reduction/curvature
  - $-\lambda_0$ : initial failure rate
- Relation to later models
  - Close relation to Musa-Okumoto model (logarithmic Poisson)
  - Models defect discovery situations
  - > Hybrid geometric Poisson

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_0 \phi^{i-1} + c$$

## **TBF5:** Imperfect Debugging

- Goel-Okumoto
- Failure rate
  - Similar to Jelinski-Moranda
  - ▷ Step function
  - Allow for imperfect debugging

$$> \lambda_i = \phi(N - p(i - 1))$$

- ▷ p: prob(imperfect debugging)
- Other parameters same
- Relation to later models
  - Close relation to Goel-Okumoto NHPP model
  - Models defect removal process

## **TBF6:** Littlewood-Verrall

• Bayesian model

- $\triangleright$   $t_i$ : *i*-th inter-failure interval
- $\triangleright$  Distribution (pdf) for  $t_i$ :

$$f(t_i|\lambda_i) = \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t_i}$$

- $\triangleright \lambda_i$ : failure rate parameter
- $\triangleright$  Distribution (pdf) for  $\lambda_i$ :

$$f(\lambda_i | \alpha, \psi(i)) = \frac{[\psi(i)]^{\alpha} \lambda_i^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\psi(i)\lambda_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

▷  $\psi(i)$ : increasing function of *i* ▷  $\alpha$ : constant

• In SMERFS, LV model with  $\psi(i)$ :

$$\flat \ \psi(i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 i \text{ , or}$$
$$\flat \ \psi(i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 i^2$$

## FC Models

- Model characteristics
  - $\triangleright$  Failure count  $N_i$  as r.v.
  - ▷ Time interval: predefined
    - equal: Schneidewind model
    - different: other models
  - Distribution: failure arrival process
  - Directly define process parameters
  - ▷ NHPP most common
- Defining FC models
  - ▷ Time intervals
  - > Underlying stochastic processes
  - Physical interpretation
  - Cumulative or rate data plotting

## FC1: Goel-Okumoto

- Process assumption: NHPP (Non-homogeneous Poisson Process)
- Model definition:

 $\triangleright$  Probability of *n* failures in [0, t]:

$$P(N(t) = n) = \frac{m(t)^n}{n!}e^{-m(t)}$$

 $\triangleright$  m(t): mean function

$$m(t) = N(1 - e^{-bt})$$

 $\triangleright \lambda(t) = m'(t)$ : failure rate

$$\lambda(t) = Nbe^{-bt}$$

- $\triangleright$  N is the total estimated failures
- $\triangleright$  b captures failure exposure
- Data: period failure count (PFC model)
  (N(t) is the random variable)

#### FC Models: Other NHPP

Similar to Goel-Okumoto model

$$P(N(t) = n) = \frac{m(t)^n}{n!}e^{-m(t)}$$

• S-shaped SRGM (2 variations)

▷ 
$$m(t) = N(1 - (1 + bt)e^{-bt})$$
  
▷  $m(t) = N(1 - e^{-bt})(1 + ce^{-bt})$ 

- ▷ Allow for slow start
- Modified Goel-Okumoto

▷ 
$$m(t) = N(1 - e^{-bt^c})$$
  
▷ Similar to modified Jolinski M

- Similar to modified Jelinski-Moranda
- Logarithmic Poisson (Musa-Okumoto)

$$m(\tau) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log(\lambda_0 \theta \tau + 1)$$

#### FC Models: Generalized Poisson

- Differences with previous NHPP:
  - Segmented rather that global NHPP
  - Each segment has own parameters
  - Sequence follows some function
- Schneidewind & Generalized Poisson:
  - ▷ NHPP overall
  - ▷ Each segment a Poisson process:

$$d_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) = \alpha e^{-\beta i}$$

▷ Generalized Poisson

$$m_i(t) = \phi(N - M_{i-1})g_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$$

Can treat many models as special cases of this model

#### FC Models: Brooks-Motley

- Process assumption:
  - ▷ Poisson variation
  - ▷ Binomial variation
- Model definition:
  - Each period a binomial/Poisson process
  - ▷ Progression:
    - $-n_{ij}$  failures for *i*th session, *j*th module
    - with length  $K_{ij}$  or  $t_{ij}$

- binomial 
$$q_{ij} = 1 - (1-q)^{K_{ij}}$$

$$P(X = n_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{ij} \\ n_{ij} \end{pmatrix} q_{ij}^{n_{ij}} (1 - q_{ij})^{N_{ij} - n_{ij}}$$

- Poisson  $\phi_{ij} = 1 - (1 - \phi)^{t_{ij}}$ 

$$P(X = n_{ij}) = \frac{\left(N_{ij}\phi_{ij}\right)^{n_{ij}}e^{-N_{ij}\phi_{ij}}}{n_{ij}!}$$

 $-q_{ij}$ ,  $\phi_{ij}$ : binomial/Poisson constant

## FC Models: Musa

- Variations of Musa models
  - Prescriptive: derived from product/process characteristics
  - ▷ Descriptive: fitted, similar to prev. SRGMs
  - Execution time: used in modeling
  - Calendar time: used in management
  - Conversion between the two times
- Musa models (descriptive):
  - Basic Musa: resembles Jelinski-Moranda
  - (Musa-Okumoto) logarithmic Poisson
    (a variation of NHPP model)

$$m(\tau) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log(\lambda_0 \theta \tau + 1)$$

Execution time used in both above

#### FC Models: Musa

- Practicality of Musa models
  - Software usage: operational profile and execution time
  - Predictions (prescriptive) based on process and product characteristics
  - Practical issues dealt in Musa book
  - ▷ Practicality vs. theoretical focus
- Applications of Musa models
  - $\triangleright$  AT&T projects: 10-20%
  - ▷ Best practice at AT&T
  - > Adoption in other environments
  - ▷ Tool and other support:
    - AT&T's SRE ToolKit
    - training and benchmarking
  - Most publicized success stories

## Choice of SRGMs

- Issues discussed before:
  - Goal/environment/experience
  - ▷ Tool/data availability
- Other model choice issues:
  - ▷ Time measurement and model fit.
  - ▷ Single vs. multiple models.
  - Composite models possible/meaningful?
  - ▷ Existing vs. new models.
  - ▷ Assumptions/limitations/applicability.
  - ▷ (to be examined further next...)

## Choice of SRGMs

- Time measurement and model fit:
  - $\triangleright$  experience at AT&T (exec. time!)
  - ▷ IBM experience
  - $\triangleright$  bad fit  $\Rightarrow$  time appropriate?
  - ▷ (compare: bad fit  $\Rightarrow$  other model)
- Single vs. multiple models:
  - best fitted vs. optimistic (fast rel. growth)
    vs. pessimistic (slow ..)
  - ▷ band/range instead of single estimate
  - > related: synthesized/composite models
- Existing vs. new models:
  - simplicity of existing models
  - ▷ validation of new models
  - ▷ caution against ad-hoc new models

#### Alternatives to SRGMs

- *Reliability:* Prob(failure-free operation)
  - $\triangleright$  Time: how to measure  $\Rightarrow$  SRGMs
  - Input: characterize/classify
  - Assumptions: failure/OP/time/distr
  - Applicability and limitations
- Alternatives to SRGMs:
  - Input domain/combinatorial
    - also fault seeding
  - Hybrid models: Cleanroom model
  - Coverage-based and predictive
  - ▷ TBRMs: tree-based reliability models
    - both time/input info. (SRE.2)

## Mills Fault Seeding Model

- Assumptions (BIG!)
  - ▷ Random seeding, same distribution
  - Same probability for detection
  - > Hyper-geometric distribution

• Seeding/tagging to estimate population

- $\triangleright$   $n_s$  seeded,  $x_s$  captured
- $\triangleright$  *n*<sub>o</sub> original, *x*<sub>o</sub> captured
- $\triangleright$  Prob(finding exactly  $x_s$  and  $x_o$ ):

$$P = \frac{\binom{n_o}{x_o}\binom{n_s}{x_s}}{\binom{n_o + n_s}{x_o + x_s}}$$

▷ ML estimate of  $n_o$  given by  $\hat{n_0}$ 

$$\hat{n_0} = \frac{n_s x_o}{x_s}$$

## Nelson's Input Domain Model

• Nelson Model:

- $\triangleright$  Running for a sample of *n* inputs.
- $\triangleright$  Randomly selected from set E:

$$E = \{E_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

Sampling probability vector:

$$\{P_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

- $\triangleright \{P_i\}$ : Operational profile.
- $\triangleright$  Number of failures: f.
- ▷ Estimated reliability = success rate:

$$R = \frac{n-f}{n} = 1 - \frac{f}{n} = 1 - r$$

 $\triangleright$  r: failure rate.

• Repeated sampling without fixing.

## Other Input Domain Models

- ▷ Explicit input state distribution.
- $\triangleright$  Known probability for sub-domains  $E_i$
- $\triangleright f_i$  failures for  $n_i$  runs from subdomain  $E_i$

$$R = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f_i}{n_i} P(E_i)$$

- Ramamoorthy-Bastani:
  - $\triangleright$  Safety critical systems,  $\hat{R}=1$
  - $\triangleright$  Confidence level for  $\hat{R}$
  - $\triangleright x_i$  specific set of inputs
  - $\triangleright$  P(program correct | correct for  $x_i$ 's)

$$P = e^{-\lambda V} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2}{1 + e^{-\lambda x_i}}$$

- $\triangleright$   $\lambda$  source code complexity
- Recent development by Woit-Parnas

## Ho's Input Domain Model

- Step 1: Symbolic execution tree
  - ▷ Execution tree generation
  - $\triangleright$  Path identification  $T_i$
  - $\triangleright$  Path frequency assignment  $p_i$
- Step 2: Path reliability  $R_i$ 
  - ▷ Estimate vs. bound
  - Use Nelson models
  - Ramamoorthy-Bastani model
- Step 3: System reliability for m paths with probability  $p_i$  and reliability  $R_i$

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i R_i$$

## Cleanroom Reliability Model

- Hybrid model
  - Reliability growth over stages
  - Random sampling within stage
- Factors affecting reliability
  - ▷ Increment testing: reliability change
  - Mixture of untested and tested codes
- Certifying statistical quality
  - $\triangleright$  MTTF =  $MR^c$
  - ▷ M: Initial MTTF
  - ▷ R: Effective ratio for change
  - ▷ c: software changes

#### Coverage and Coverage-Based Models

- Alternative: coverage analysis
  - Defect fixing effect
  - Infeasibility of exhaustive testing
  - ▷ Pure coverage vs. cov-based models
- Focus on input/internal state coverage:
  - ▷ Function/data/statement coverage.
  - ▷ Path and dependency coverage.
  - ▷ Assumption: coverage  $\uparrow \Rightarrow$  reliability  $\uparrow$  (qualitative relation, not quantified)
- Coverage-based modeling:
  - ▷ Analytical: Weyuker etc.
  - ▷ Empirical: Mathur etc.
  - ▷ Mixed: Chen/Lyu/Wong.

## **General Assumptions and Implications**

- Times between failures are independent
  - Implies randomized testing
  - ▷ Practical scenarios:
    - defect fixing effect
    - structure/progression in testing
- Immediate defect removal
  - Duplicate defect counting
  - ▷ Related but not duplicate?
  - ▷ Infeasible for in-field defects
- No new fault injected
  - ▷ Reliability growth assured
  - ▷ Practical: injection < removal
  - ▷ Related: Decreasing failure rate

#### **Assumptions and Implications**

- Relating failure rate to number of faults
  - ▷ Variations to the assumption
    - proportionality between the two
    - functional relation between the two
    - time dependent relation
  - Implications of failure detection and detection sequences
- Operational profile
  - Ensures reasonable/meaningful reliability assessments and predictions
  - ▷ Limits applicability
- Time as a basis for failure rate
  - Equivalent time units
  - Requires proper time measurement

## Assumptions and Applicability

- General considerations
  - > Assumptions for different model types
  - ▷ Tian/AIC paper
  - Match them to application environment
    - models necessarily simple
    - impossible perfect match
- Applicability to different processes
  - ▷ Waterfall generally assumed
  - ▷ Testing phases
  - ▷ UBST (BBT also?): SRGMs and ID
  - ▷ WBT: coverage
  - ▷ Incremental development: cleanroom
  - Spiral model: iterations
  - Operational phases
    - difference in defect removal
    - data availability

## Applicability to Different Phases

- Requirement and specification
  - Reliability goal from customer expectation and feasibility (also affordable?)
  - Operational profile construction
  - Prepare for random testing
- Design and coding
  - ▷ Fault detection and removal (QA)
  - Musa's prescriptive model
  - ▷ Other existing models not applicable
  - ▷ Alternative models may be needed:
    - fault and error based models
    - constructive information (white box)
    - predictive models relating to reliability

## Applicability to Different Phases

- Unit testing
  - White-box deterministic testing
  - $\triangleright$  Tester = developer
  - Applicable: fault seeding, coverage-based, (Musa's prescriptive?)
  - Other models not applicable
- Integration and system testing
  - ▷ FVT, SVT, regression, integration
  - ▷ Focus: customer oriented operations
  - ▷ Less emphasis on coverage
  - ▷ Main phase for SRGMs
  - ▷ FC models more robust
  - ▷ Random testing conformance?
  - ▷ Use of other models

## Applicability to Different Phases

- Acceptance testing
  - Gate: accept/release or not
    (also plan for product support)
  - ▷ Basis: snapshot(s) or random sampling
  - Cleanroom-like model usage
  - Input domain model appropriate
  - ▷ Others, maybe?
- Operational phase:
  - ▷ Actual operations (post-release)
  - ▷ Beta or ECI programs (pre-release)
  - Difference in operational environments
  - Data availability and treatment
  - ▷ Reliability vs. availability
  - Defect fix and product refreshing
  - Business decisions

#### Applications and Examples

- Overall procedure
  - ▷ A lot of preparation
  - Generic: preparation/modeling/followup
  - Routine procedure once started
  - Often periodic activities
  - Evaluation/feedback/improvement
- Application examples
  - ▷ Data: telecommunications (Musa)
  - Wide applications of Goel-Okumoto, Musa, and other models
  - Shuttle: Schneidewind and Keller
  - ▷ Examples in IBM