Software Reliability and Safety CSE 8317 — Fall 2007

Prof. Jeff Tian, tian@engr.smu.edu CSE, SMU, Dallas, TX 75275 (214) 768-2861; Fax: (214) 768-3085 www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/class/8317.07f

SSE.2: Hazard Analysis & Resolution

- Hazard Analyses and Techniques
- HA Techniques: FTA and ETA
- Hazard Resolution
- Damage Reduction

Safety Analysis

- Hazard and risk identification:
 - Accident scenarios: actual/hypothetical
 - starting points for safety
- Hazard analysis and assessment:

 - Other analyses/assessment techniques
- Hazard and risk resolution
 - ▶ Hazard elimination
 - ▶ Hazard reduction

 - Damage control

Hazard Analyses: Types

- Sub-system hazard analyses (SSHA)
 - > Hazard within individual sub-system
- System hazard analyses (SHA)
 - > Focus: interface and interaction

 - > Throughout development process
 - Focus on early phases to provide info. for other activities (hazard resolution and safety verification)
- SHA/SSHA in software process
 - > Throughout development process
 - Focus on early phases to provide info. for other activities (hazard resolution and safety verification)

Hazard Analyses: Techniques

- Primary techniques for SHA/SSHA:

 - ⊳ SQE Ch.16.4 and Safeware Ch.14.
- Other techniques:
 - ▷ Design reviews & checklists
 - Hazard indices
 - Risk trees

 - > Failure modes and effect analysis, etc.
 - ▷ Above: "Safeware" Ch.14.
 - ▷ Specific to software: "Safeware" Ch.15.
- FTA and ETA slides from SQE Ch.16.4.

Hazard Analysis: SFTA

SFTA: Software FTA

- Actual implementation (white-box)
- ▶ Language elements (high-level):
 - assignment and function calls
 - branching statement, loops, etc.
- ▷ Also for specification/architecture
 - black-box control flow diagram
 - equivalent language representation

SFTA construction:

- ▶ Templates/examples for diff. statements
- Safeware 18.2.2 (pp.497-507)
- ⇒ Additional work needed, especially for system design/architecture

Hazard Analysis: ETA & CCA

- ETA alone: trace of accident.
 May desire explanation also (from FTA)
- Cause-consequence diagram (CCA):
 - Combine ETA with FTA
- Using ETA and CCA:
 - ▶ Partial vs. total ETA
 - > Focus on main consequences
 - > Details:

"Safeware" 14.5-14.6 (pp.327-pp.335)

Hazard and Risk Resolution

- Generic hazard resolution techniques (in order of their precedence):
 - ▶ Hazard elimination:
 - eliminate hazard sources
 - ▶ Hazard reduction:
 - reduce hazard likelihood/severity
 - ▶ Hazard control:
 - control hazard severity/scope
- Hazard resolution ⇒ prob(accident) ↓
- Related issues:
 - ▶ Basis: hazard identification and analysis via FTA, ETA, CCA, etc.
 - Many specific techniques
 - Related to QA and SRE
 - ▶ Risk resolution: damage reduction

Prof. Jeff Tian Fall 2007

Hazard Elimination

- Elimination of hazard
 - ▷ Intrinsically safe (sub-)system
 - ▷ All eliminated: feasibility & cost?
 - Certain types of hazard eliminated
 - Direct use of hazard identification and analysis results.
- Specific techniques: "Good SE & SSE"

 - ▷ No single point of failure (← ETA)
 - Simplification of building blocks
 - ▷ Decoupling of system architecture
 - ▶ Human errors/hazardous material elim.
 - Component safety certification:
 - formal verification
 - components identified by FTA etc.

Hazard, Controllability, & Observability

- Related to hazard resolution, particularly hazard reduction and control.
- Controllability:
 - Between any two system states
 - ▷ Desirable/safe states: maintain
 - \triangleright Fail \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow safe (haz. control)
 - Controllability limits:
 - system design/structure limit
 - energy/capacity limit
- Observability: observation of system states (and failures), basis for control.

Design for Controllability

- Maintain safe states

 - ▶ Monitoring: observation ⇒ control

 - Mostly in hazard reduction
- Enhancing control opportunities:
 - > Incremental control: more control points
 - ▷ Intermediate states: more obs. points(⇒ more control opportunities)
 - Decision aid: easier/more control points
 - Both in hazard reduction and especially in hazard control

Hazard Reduction

- Hazard reduction:
 - > Severity reduction:
 - change failure characteristics (failure ∧ ¬ hazard)
 - various locks/barriers
 - ▶ Likelihood reduction:
 - reduce failure probability
 - in combination with above
 - also: most QA/SRE related techniques
- Specific techniques:
 - Design for controllability
 - ▶ Barriers and locks (passive)

Hazard Reduction: Techniques

- Monitoring and checks: Fig 16.2
 - > Hardware checks: lowest level
 - - connection to PSC (SSE.4)
 - Audit checks: independent monitoring
 - Supervisory checks: system/highest level
- Locks and barriers (passive)

 - ▶ Interlocks (correct order/combinations)
 - Other barriers (extra cap./redundancy/etc.)

Hazard Reduction: Techniques

- Hazard probability minimization:
 - ▷ Design with extra capacity:
 - safety factors/margins example
 - melt temp. T_m and margin M
 - $-\Rightarrow$ safety bound $T_s=T_m-M$
 - ▶ Redundancy: similar
 - - focused hazard probability min.
 - with FTA/ETA/etc. help
- Redundancy (FT etc.) ⇒ prob(hazard) ↓:
 - ▶ Hardware redundancy/backup
 - ▷ Software redundancy:
 - fault tolerance (NVP, & (?) RB)
 - anticipated input/env. enlargement
 - "fool-proof" software
 - ▷ Recovery: similar to RB in FT

Hazard Resolution: Hw/Sw Interlock

- Interlock software
 - > Software used as safety interlock
 - (s/w usage: data/control/safety)
 - example: emergency shut-down s/w
 - More stringent safety requirement:
 - most s/w function safety-related
 - should not rely solely on s/w
 - Therac-25 accident lessons
- Hardware/software interlock
 - ▶ Limitation of s/w backups:
 - diversity and independence problems
 - Hardware backups and interlocks:
 - different characteristics
 - different failure mechanisms
 - more likely to be independent
 - passive/active safety devices
 - Combine the advantages ⇒ safety ↑

Prof. Jeff Tian Fall 2007

Hazard Control

- Hazard control:
 - Detecting hazard, then control it
 - ▷ Built-in control: by design
 - ▷ Change after detection:
 - (passive) limits(mostly outside system)
 - (active) control devices/sub-systems
- Specific techniques:

 - > Isolation and containment
 - Protection systems
 - ⊳ Fail-safe design

Hazard Control: Techniques

- Internal system change:
 - ▷ Isolation of hazard event
 - Containment around hazard event
 - ⊳ Fail-safe design (passive)
- System augmentation:
 - ▷ Protection system (PS) added on:
 - hazard \Rightarrow PS action \Rightarrow safe
 - shut-down or partial shut-down
 - e.g., automatic coolant injection or pressure relief

 - ▶ Partial solution may be necessary:
 - reduce the severity
 - bring to a neighboring state

Risk Resolution: Damage Reduction

- Damage reduction: Why?

 - \triangleright All the hazard resolution techniques \Rightarrow risk \neq 0 still!
 - Damage reduction needed
 - ▶ Passed "point of no return"
- Specific techniques:
 - Escape routes (lifeboats, fire escapes, evacuation plans, etc.)
 - > Safe abandonment (haz. waste disposal)
 - ▷ Devices for limiting damage:
 - auto safety devices
 - limited melt-down
 - collapsible signpost, etc.

Perspectives

- SSE: Augment S/w Eng.
 - Analysis to identify hazard
 - Design for safety
 - Verify safety constraints (next module)
- Dealing with hazard/risk in SSE:
 - > Hazard identification and analysis
 - ▷ Design for safety/hazard resolution:
 - Hazard elimination/reduction/control
 - > Damage reduction
 - ▷ Safety verification
 - ▷ All in SSE context: hazard focus.