Software Reliability and Safety CS 8317 — Spring 2023

Prof. Jeff Tian, tian@engr.smu.edu CS, SMU, Dallas, TX 75275 (214) 768-2861; Fax: (214) 768-3085 s2.smu.edu/~tian/class/8317.23s

SSE.3: Hazard and Risk Resolution

- Hazard Resolution and Damage Control
- Hazard Resolution Techniques: Hazard Elimination/Reduction/Control
- Risk Resolution: Damage Reduction

Safety Techniques

- Hazard and risk identification:
 - Accident scenarios: actual/hypothetical - starting points for safety
 - ▷ Focus: operations and operational env.
- Hazard analysis and assessment:
 - ▷ Fault trees: (static) logical conditions
 - Event trees: dynamic sequences
 - Other analyses/assessment techniques
- Hazard and risk resolution
 - Hazard elimination
 - ▷ Hazard reduction
 - Hazard control
 - Damage control

Hazard and Risk Resolution

- Generic hazard resolution techniques (in order of their precedence):
 - ▷ Hazard elimination:
 - eliminate (some) hazard sources
 - \triangleright Hazard reduction:
 - reduce hazard likelihood/severity
 - ▷ Hazard control:
 - control hazard severity/scope
- Hazard resolution \Rightarrow prob(accident) \downarrow
- Related issues:
 - Basis: hazard identification and analysis via FTA, ETA, FMEA, CCA, etc.
 - Many specific techniques
 - Related to QA and SRE
 - Risk resolution: damage reduction too

Hazard Elimination

- Elimination of hazard
 - Intrinsically safe (sub-)system
 - ▷ All eliminated: feasibility & cost?
 - Certain types of hazard eliminated
 - Direct use of hazard identification and analysis results.
- Specific techniques: "Good SE & SSE"
 - \triangleright Component substitution (\Leftarrow FTA)
 - \triangleright No single point of failure (\Leftarrow ETA)
 - Simplification of building blocks
 - Decoupling of system architecture
 - ▷ Human errors/hazardous material elim.
 - Component safety certification:
 - \triangleright Link to testing/FT/QA activities and SSP process

Hazard Elimination

- FTA derived
 - Negating/altering logical conditions
 - Critical component: substitution
 - ▷ Structural change?
- ETA derived
 - Altering/forcing event sequences
 - ▷ No single point of failure
 - ▷ "rollback" possibility?
- FMEA/etc. derived
 - Environmental control/influence
 - Formal verification of component and/or system
 - ▷ STAMP and other approaches: SSE4

Hazard, Controllability, & Observability

- Related to hazard resolution, particularly hazard reduction and control.
- Controllability:
 - Between any two system states
 - Desirable/safe states: maintain
 - \triangleright Fail \Rightarrow action \Rightarrow safe (haz. control)
 - Controllability limits:
 - system design/structure limit
 - energy/capacity limit
- Observability: observation of system states (and failures), basis for control.

Design for Controllability

- Maintain safe states
 - Use built-in control
 - \triangleright Monitoring: observation \Rightarrow control
 - \triangleright Multiple checks \Leftarrow monitoring
 - Mostly in hazard reduction
- Enhancing control opportunities:
 - Incremental control: more control points
 - ▷ Intermediate states: more obs. points $(\Rightarrow$ more control opportunities)
 - Decision aid: easier/more control points
 - Both in hazard reduction and especially in hazard control

Hazard Reduction

- Hazard reduction:
 - ▷ Severity reduction:
 - change failure characteristics
 - (failure $\land \neg$ hazard)
 - various locks/barriers
 - ▷ Likelihood reduction:
 - reduce failure probability
 - in combination with above
 - also: most QA/SRE related techniques
- Specific techniques:
 - Design for controllability
 - ▷ Barriers and locks (passive)
 - \triangleright Failure/hazard probability/severity \downarrow (accident probability \downarrow)

Hazard Reduction: Techniques

- Monitoring and checks: Fig 16.2
 - Hardware checks: lowest level
 - Code-level checks: assertions
 - connection to PSC (SSE.4)
 - > Audit checks: independent monitoring
 - Supervisory checks: system/highest level
- Locks and barriers (passive)
 - Lock-outs (preventing hazard)
 - Lock-ins (maintaining safety conditions)
 - > Interlocks (correct order/combinations)
 - ▷ Other barriers (extra cap./redundancy/etc.)

Hazard Reduction: Techniques

Hazard probability minimization:

Design with extra capacity:

- safety factors/margins example
- melt temp. T_m and margin M
- \Rightarrow safety bound $T_s = T_m M$
- ▷ Redundancy: similar
- \triangleright QA and SRE: failure \downarrow
 - focused hazard probability min.
 - with FTA/ETA/etc. help
- Redundancy (FT etc.) \Rightarrow prob(hazard) \downarrow :
 - Hardware redundancy/backup
 - ▷ Software redundancy:
 - fault tolerance (NVP, & (?) RB)
 - anticipated input/env. enlargement
 - "fool-proof" software
 - ▷ Recovery: similar to RB in FT
 - Hardware/software interlocks

Hazard Resolution: Hw/Sw Interlock

- Interlock software
 - > Software used as safety interlock
 - (s/w usage: data/control/safety)
 - example: emergency shut-down s/w
 - More stringent safety requirement:
 - most s/w function safety-related
 - should not rely solely on s/w
 - Therac-25 accident lessons
- Hardware/software interlock
 - ▷ Limitation of s/w backups:
 - diversity and independence problems
 - ▷ Hardware backups and interlocks:
 - different characteristics
 - different failure mechanisms
 - more likely to be *independent*
 - passive/active safety devices
 - \triangleright Combine the advantages \Rightarrow safety \uparrow

Hazard Control

- Hazard control:
 - ▷ Detecting hazard, then control it
 - ▷ Built-in control: by design
 - ▷ Change after detection:
 - (passive) limits
 - (mostly outside system)
 - (active) control devices/sub-systems
- Specific techniques:
 - \triangleright Limiting exposure (duration)
 - Isolation and containment
 - Protection systems
 - ▷ Fail-safe design

Hazard Control: Techniques

- Internal system change:
 - Isolation of hazard event
 - Containment around hazard event
 - ▷ Fail-safe design (passive)
- System augmentation:
 - ▷ Protection system (PS) added on:
 - hazard \Rightarrow PS action \Rightarrow safe
 - shut-down or partial shut-down
 - e.g., automatic coolant injection or pressure relief
 - Controllability limit (earlier)
 - ▷ Partial solution may be necessary:
 - reduce the severity
 - bring to a neighboring state

Risk Resolution: Damage Reduction

- Damage reduction: Why?
 - \triangleright Risk factors: $f(prob(haz), prob(haz \rightarrow acc), damage)$
 - ▷ All the hazard resolution techniques \Rightarrow risk \neq 0 still!
 - Damage reduction needed
 - ▷ Passed "point of no return"
- Specific techniques:
 - ▷ Escape routes (lifeboats, fire escapes, evacuation plans, etc.)
 - Safe abandonment (haz. waste disposal)
 - \triangleright Devices for limiting damage:
 - auto safety devices
 - limited melt-down
 - collapsible signpost, etc.

Perspectives

- SSE: Augment S/w Eng.
 - Analysis to identify hazard
 - ▷ Design for safety
 - Verify safety constraints (next module)
 - ▷ Leveson's SSP and STAMP
- Dealing with hazard/risk in SSE:
 - Hazard identification and analysis
 - Design for safety/hazard resolution:
 - Hazard elimination/reduction/control
 - Damage reduction
 - Safety verification
 - ▷ All in SSE context: hazard focus.